

Screening of lactic acid bacteria for their potential use as aromatic starters in fermented vegetables

Da Lorn, Thi Kim Chi Nguyen, Phu-Ha Ho, Reasmey Tan, Hélène Licandro,

Yves Waché

▶ To cite this version:

Da Lorn, Thi Kim Chi Nguyen, Phu-Ha Ho, Reasmey Tan, Hélène Licandro, et al.. Screening of lactic acid bacteria for their potential use as aromatic starters in fermented vegetables. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 2021, 350, pp.109242. 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2021.109242. hal-03258350

HAL Id: hal-03258350 https://institut-agro-dijon.hal.science/hal-03258350v1

Submitted on 13 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168160521002014 Manuscript_cf9b25f5d274906496770d9a87516037

1	Screening of lactic acid bacteria for their potential use as aromatic starters in fermented
2	vegetables
3	Da Lorn ^{1,2,5} , Thi-Kim-Chi Nguyen ^{1,5} , Phu-Ha Ho ^{3,5} , Reasmey Tan ^{4,5} , Hélène Licandro ^{1,5} , Yves Waché ^{1,5}
4	Lead Distachaology & Innovation group International Joint Descended Laboratory Tranical Dispessources &
5	Biotechnology & Innovation group, international Joint Research Laboratory, Propical Biotechnology and
7	Food Technology, Univ. Bourgogne Prancie-Conne, Agrosup Dijon, PAN UNIX A 02.102 and School of Biotechnology and
, 8	² Faculty of Chemical and Food Engineering. Institute of Technology of Cambodia, Russian Federation Blvd. P.O. Box 86
9	12156 Phnom Penh Cambodia
10	³ International Joint Research Laboratory, Tropical Rioresources & Riotechnology, Univ. Rourgogne Franche Comté
10	AgroSup Dijon PAM LIMP A 02 102 and School of Biotechnology and Food Technology. Hanoi University of Science and
11	Technology Hanoi Vietnam
12	⁴ Food Tashnology and Nutrition Passarch Unit. Passarch and Innovation Canter. Institute of Tashnology of Combadia
13	Proof rechnology and Nutrition Research Unit, Research and Innovation Center, Institute of rechnology of Cambodia,
14	STangial Environtation Network
15	^o I ropical Fermentation Network
10	
17	Abstract
18	Lactic acid fermentation is a traditional process to preserve foods and to modify their organoleptic
19	properties. This process is generally conducted in a spontaneous way, allowing indigenous lactic acid
20	bacteria (LAB) of the matrix and of the environment to compete and grow. The aim of this study was to
21	better characterise LAB strains ability to modify aroma profiles in fruit and vegetable matrices, by
22	focusing on two key enzymatic activities: β -glucosidase and alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH). Firstly, 200
23	LAB isolated from Cambodian and Vietnamese fermented foods were screened for their β -glucosidase
24	activity and duplicate isolates identified through RAPD-PCR analysis were discarded. Thereby, 40 strains
25	were found positive for β -glucosidase using <i>p</i> -nitrophenyl- β -D-glucopyranoside as substrate. Among
	1

26 them, 14 displayed an activity greater than 10 nmol/min/mg dry cell. Thirteen were identified as 27 Lactiplantibacillus (L.) plantarum and one as L. pentosus. Secondly, four strains of different phenotypes for β -glucosidase activity were tested for ADH activity. The highest reduction ability for hexanal and (*E*)-28 29 2-hexenal was obtained for Limosilactobacillus (L.) fermentum V013-1A for which no β-glucosidase 30 activity was detectable. The three other strains (L. plantarum C022-2B, C022-3B, and V0023-4B2) 31 exhibited a lower reduction ability and only for hexanal. Thirdly, mashed tomatoes were fermented with 32 these four strains individually to evaluate their ability to release volatile compounds from the tomato 33 precursors. Fifty-eight volatile compounds were identified and quantified by HS-SPME/GC-MS. 34 Untreated tomatoes were rich in aldehydes. The tomatoes fermented with L. plantarum strains were rich 35 in ketones whereas those with L. fermentum were rich in alcohols. However, for the generation of terpenoids that provide flower and fruit flavours, our screening of β -glucosidase activity was not able to 36 37 explain the differences among the strains. For ADH activity, L. fermentum exhibited a high activity in 38 fermentation as most of the target aldehydes and ketones disappeared and were replaced by their 39 corresponding alcohols. The L. plantarum strains exhibited a lower activity but with an important 40 substrate-selectivity diversity. A better knowledge of the functionality of each LAB strain in the food 41 matrix will permit to predict and shape the aroma profiles of fermented food.

Keywords: Lactic acid bacteria; β-Glucosidases; Alcohol dehydrogenases; Volatile compounds;
 Fermented foods; Mashed tomatoes

44 I. Introduction

To achieve the transition to a sustainable, affordable, trustworthy, and high-quality food system in the next decade, a WHO/FAO report recommends a minimum of 400 g of fruit and vegetable consumption per day (WHO, 2003). Lactic acid fermentation is a traditional process that could be an ecofriendly way to diversify fruit and vegetable supply and therefore increase their daily consumption. 49 Generally, five interesting features are put forward to explain the use of LAB in fermented food: increase 50 of the shelf-life of perishable raw materials, innovation, detoxification, nutritional enrichment, and reduction of fuel consumption (Steinkraus, 1995). Whereas lactic acid fermentation is roughly anecdotic 51 52 for fruits and vegetables in western countries (but including some popular examples like sauerkraut, 53 olives, capers, turnip, and gherkins) (Di Cagno et al., 2013; Tamang et al., 2016b), it is popular in Asia. 54 Indeed, in Asia, lactic acid fermented fruits or vegetables are served as an appetiser, a side dish or an 55 ingredient to prepare the main course. The raw materials are very diverse, resulting in products like *sunki* 56 (Japanese fermented red beet leaves) (Endo et al., 2008), kimchi (Korean fermented napa cabbage with 57 other vegetables) (Patra et al., 2016), sinki (Indian fermented radish taproot) (Tamang et al., 2005), dua 58 muoi (Vietnamese fermented mustard leave, beet, and eggplant) (Nguyen et al., 2013), and chrourk or 59 tram (Cambodian fermented fruits or vegetables).

60 Lactic acid fermentation is considered as a simple and valuable biotechnology for preserving and/or 61 improving the safety, nutritional, and shelf-life properties of fruits and vegetables (Fan and Hansen, 2012; 62 Tamang et al., 2016a). It is also very important for sensory properties. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) have 63 been known to enhance the flavour of fermented products thanks to their metabolism. In this paper, for all 64 LAB species, we referred to the new taxonomy (Zheng et al., 2020). Homofermentative LAB produce 65 lactic acid as the main end-product of carbohydrate fermentation while heterofermentative LAB produce in addition other products such as acetic acid, carbon dioxide, ethanol, acetoin, and diacetyl (Gänzle, 66 67 2015; Leroy and De Vuyst, 2004). The volatiles generated by heterofermentation can provide special 68 tastes and flavours to final fermented foods. The characteristic of many fermented foods depends 69 therefore on LAB metabolism.

Furthermore, the genesis of flavour compounds mainly rely on the specific ability of different bacterial strains to convert precursors derived from carbohydrates, proteins, fatty acids, carotenoids, and glycosides (Bancalari et al., 2017). The newly generated volatile compounds are added to the pool of 73 flavouring compounds that are already present in plant matrix though in plant, these latter are mostly 74 encountered under a glycosylated form to decrease their toxicity (Song et al., 2018). β-Glucosidases are key enzymes for releasing aroma compounds from the glucosidic precursors found in fruits (Krisch et al., 75 76 2010). They are utilised to enhance the flavour of wine (Sestelo et al., 2004), tea (Su et al., 2010), and fruit juice (Fan et al., 2011). Some LAB such as Lactiplantibacillus plantarum USC1 (Sestelo et al., 77 78 2004) and Lactobacillus ssp. and Pediococcus ssp. (Grimaldi et al., 2005) isolated from wines and 79 fermented foods are capable of exhibiting β -glucosidase activity (Michlmayr and Kneifel, 2014). This 80 phenotype is strain-specific, as reported by Renchinkhand et al. (2015) who found only six β -glucosidase 81 positive strains among 28 LAB strains isolated from kimchi. Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) is another 82 family of enzymatic activities which could be important for modifying aroma profiles in fruits and vegetables. ADH catalyses the transformation of aldehydes or ketones into their corresponding alcohols, 83 84 acids or esters. Despite the fact that their encoding genes are generally present in LAB genomes, ADH 85 activities have only rarely been reported in LAB. Lentilactobacillus kefir DSM 20587 was able to reduce 86 2,5-hexanedione into (2R,5R)-hexanediol (Haberland et al., 2002) in specific resting whole cells 87 conditions. Few studies characterised purified ADHs of LAB. In cheese, Hu et al. (2019) found five 88 ADHs of Limosilactobacillus reuteri DSM20016 which could reduce aldehydes into their corresponding 89 alcohols, for instance, 3-methylbutanal to 3-methylbutanol, butyraldehyde to 2-butanol, hexanal to 90 hexanol, phenylacetaldehyde to phenylethanol, etc.

To improve the aroma profiles through fermentation, fruits or vegetables have to be fermented by LAB starters possessing adequate metabolic and enzymatic activities towards plant matrix. This requires to select the starters according to their enzymatic activities in the matrix, which cannot be fully predicted in laboratory conditions. In the present study, 200 LAB isolates from Cambodian and Vietnamese spontaneously fermented foods were screened for some enzymatic activities which might be important for the development of the sensorial profile of fermented products. The first activity screened, which is,

97 according to bibliography, the less prevalent in LAB, was β -glucosidase. It was done using esculin iron 98 agar and *p*-nitrophenyl- β -D-glucopyranoside assays. For the highest β -glucosidase producers, the species 99 were identified and the strains were clustered according to RAPD profile. Four LAB isolates exhibiting 100 distinct β -glucosidase activities (high, medium or negative) were then characterised for their ADH 101 activity on several aldehyde substrates. Then, they were used as starters in mashed tomatoes. Tomato 102 fruits were chosen for this study due to the availability of this raw material as by-products, the health 103 benefit of this plant, and the richness of aroma precursors. The strain ability to release volatile compounds 104 from the tomato precursors was analysed in regard to their β -glucosidase and ADH activities.

105 **II. Materials and methods**

106 *2.1. Fermented foods and bacterial strains*

107 Twenty-five samples were collected from different fermented foods from Cambodia or Vietnam as 108 shown in Table 1. Each sample (20 g) was suspended in 80 mL of sterile tryptone salt (8.5 g/L NaCl and 109 1 g/L tryptone) and mixed with a Stomacher for 1 min. Serial dilutions were plated on MRS agar (VWR), and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C. Bacterial isolates of different morphology were selected and grown in 110 111 MRS (pH 5.0) at 37 °C, except otherwise specified. The stationary phase of growth was reached after 20 h culture. L. plantarum B33, previously isolated from raw Vietnamese fermented pork (nem chua), was 112 113 used for comparison purpose as a strain which has been selected as a starter for several applications (Cao-114 Hoang et al., 2013).

115 2.2. Screening for β -glucosidase activity

 β -glucosidase activity assays were conducted as described by Renchinkhand et al. (2015) with some modifications. Esculin (coumarin glucoside) was used to visualise the β-glucosidase activity that hydrolyses esculin to release β-D-glucose and esculetin. Esculetin reacts thereafter with iron salts, which 119 form a brown or black complex. Therefore, a colony corresponding to an isolate positive for β -120 glucosidase activity turns brown or black. The esculin iron agar (EIA) at pH 6.60 was composed of 121 esculin 1 g/L (Acros Organics), iron ammonium citrate 0.5 g/L, meat extract 3 g/L, peptone 5 g/L, and 122 agar 16 g/L. Bacterial isolates were grown for 24 h at 37 °C, plated on EIA, and incubated for 72 h at the 123 same temperature.

124 2.3. Evaluation of β -glucosidase activity

 β -Glucosidase activity was evaluated by the release of *p*-nitrophenol (*p*NP, Sigma-Aldrich) from *p*nitrophenyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (*p*NPG, Sigma-Aldrich N7006). The β-glucosidase activity was determined according to the method described by Grimaldi et al. (2005) with some adjustments. Bacterial cell suspension from 22 h culture at 25 °C was harvested by centrifugation (4,000 rpm, 7 min at 4 °C), washed twice with physiological water (0.9% NaCl, w/v), and normalised to a final optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.8.

131 Assays were prepared in a 96-well U-bottom microplate (ThermoFisher Scientifc). Each well was 132 filled with 40 µL of 0.2 M McIlvane buffer at pH 5.0 (0.1 M of citric acid and 0.2 M of dipotassium 133 hydrogen phosphate), 20 µL of bacterial cell suspension, and 20 µL of pNPG solution (40 mM, pH 5.0). 134 The microplate was incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. The reaction was stopped with 160 µL of Na₂CO₃ solution (0.5 M). The microplate was then centrifuged (4,000 rpm, 15 min at 4 °C) and the supernatants (200 µL) 135 136 were transferred to a new 96-well flat bottom microplate. The absorbance at 400 nm (A_{400}) was measured 137 by a multiplate spectrophotometer (FLUOstar Omega, BMG LABTECH). Reactions were carried out in triplicate. The pNP calibration standards were between 0.013 and 0.302 mM. One unit of enzymatic 138 139 activity (UA) was defined as the amount of p-nitrophenol (nmol) released per minute per milligram of 140 cell dry weight. Each strain was grown in three independent cultures to determine the biological variation.

141 2.4. Genotypic identification of LAB

142 DNA extraction and molecular identification by 16S rRNA gene sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted using the InstaGeneTM Matrix (Bio-Rad) with modifications. Each 143 isolate (1 mL) grown 20 h at 37 °C was pelleted by centrifugation, washed twice with ultra-pure water, 144 resuspended in 200 µL of InstaGeneTM Matrix, and incubated at 56 °C for 30 min. After vortex mixing at 145 146 high speed for 10 s, the tubes were placed in a boiling water bath for 10 min. The supernatant containing 147 the genomic DNA was recovered by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 3 min and stored at -20°C until use. DNA were quantified by NanoDropTM. The V1-V9 regions of 16S rRNA gene were amplified using 148 149 primers 16S-27 (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') 16S-1492R (5'and 150 TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3'). DNA sequencing reactions were performed by Eurofins 151 Genomics (Germany) using both forward and reverse primers (16S-SeqF, 5'-152 AGTAGGGAATCTTCCACA-3' and 16S-SeqR, 5'-CTTGCCACCTACGTATTA-3'). Taxonomic 153 identification was determined by comparing the sequence of each isolate with those reported in the basic 154 BLAST database (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

155 Multiplex PCR assay

156 Multiplex PCR assays were realised as described by Torriani et al. (2001). The PCR mixture was 157 composed of four primers: 0.25 μ M paraF (5'-GTCACAGGCATTACGAAAAC-3'), 0.25 μ M pentF (5'-158 CAGTGGCGCGGGTTGATATC-3'), 0.12 μ M planF (5'-CCGTTTATGCGGAACACCTA-3'), and 0.25 159 μ M pREV (5'-TCGGGATTACCAAACATCAC-3'). The difference in size of the amplicons allows to 160 discriminate *L. plantarum*, *L. paraplantarum*, and *L. pentosus* species.

161 Random amplified polymorphic DNA-polymerase chain reaction (RAPD-PCR) analysis

162 Differential identification of the isolates was carried out through RAPD analysis. Four primers 163 (Eurogentec) with arbitrarily chosen sequences (OPL5, 5'-ACGCAGGCAC-3'; P2, 5'-ATGTAACGCC- 164 3'; P4, 5'-CCGCAGCGTT-3'; M13, 5'- GAGGGTGGCGGTTCT-3') were tested singly in four series of 165 amplification (Corsetti et al., 2003; Di Cagno et al., 2009a; Lucena-Padrós et al., 2014). In the case of 166 using the M13 primer, each 25- μ L reaction mixture contained 1 μ M of primer, 200 μ M of each dNTP, 0.5 167 U of PhusionTM High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 6 μ L of DNA extract. 168 The PCR program was an initial denaturation at 98 °C for 30 s, 35 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 46 °C for 60 169 s, 72 °C for 120 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min.

For OPL5, P2 or P4 primer, the 25- μ L PCR mixture contained 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, 0.5 μ M of primer, 200 μ M of dNTP, 0.5 U of Taq polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 6 μ L of DNA extract. The PCR program was: 94 °C for 3 min, 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 30 °C for 60 s, 72°C for 120 s, and 72 °C for 5 min.

The total PCR products were analysed by electrophoresis at 80 V for 4 h on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel in 1X TAE buffer and the DNA was detected by UV transillumination after staining with ethidium bromide (0.5 mg/L). The molecular sizes of the amplified DNA fragments were estimated by comparison with Smartladder (Eurogentec). Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA-PCR (RAPD-PCR) analysis profiles were acquired by using the Gel Doc XR⁺ system (Bio-Rad) and were compared using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad).

180 2.5. Reduction of aldehydes and ketones into alcohols by LAB resting cells

The ADH activity of LAB resting cells was evaluated through the reduction of aldehydes or ketones into their corresponding alcohols. Substrates for the reduction reaction included hexanal (98%), (*E*)-2hexenal (95%), (*E*)-2-heptenal (95%), (*E*,*E*)-2,4-decadienal (89%), 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (98%), and 6,10-dimethyl-5,9-undecadien-2-one (97%). They were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. 4-Nonanol (96.5%) from Merck Millipore, was used as the internal standard. Stock solutions (1 g/L) were prepared in absolute ethanol. Working solutions (160 mg/L) were obtained by diluting the stock solutions with distilled water. The bacterial cell suspension from a-20-h-culture was obtained by centrifugation (4,000
 rpm, 7 min at 4 °C), washed twice with physiological water, and diluted to 10⁸ CFU/mL according to the
 CFU/OD correspondance obtained previously.

Bacterial ADH assays were carried out in 4 mL glass vials. Each vial contained 0.5 mL of substrate 190 191 (20 mg/kg), 0.5 mL of glucose solution (3 g/kg), 0.5 mL of physiological water, and 17 µL of bacterial 192 suspension to initiate the reduction reaction. The reduction reaction was conducted in triplicate at 37 °C 193 for 1 h under agitation. Volatile compounds were quantified by gas chromatography coupled with flame 194 ionisation detector (GC/FID, PerkinElmer, Clarus® 500) after extraction with diethyl ether according to 195 Try et al. (2018) using 4-nonanol (35 µL, 1.83 g/kg) as the internal standard. The oven temperature 196 programme was modified to have an increase from 48 °C (hold for 2 min) to 110 °C at 2 °C/min, then 197 from 110 °C to 120 °C at 10 °C/min and finally from 110 °C to 250 °C (hold for 2 min) at 30 °C/min. 198 One unit of enzymatic activity (UA) was defined as the amount of a substrate (nmol) reduced into a 199 product per minute per CFU.

200 2.6. Mashed tomato treatment

201 Peeled frozen tomatoes (Picard Surgelés, France) were thawed and blended with a conventional 202 blender (Philips HR2056/00, 350 W) for 1 min to obtain mashed tomatoes (MT). The MT (60 g) were 203 fermented in a 100 mL Duran glass jar with a screw-cap. Stationary phase cultures were used to inoculate 204 MT (10⁵ CFU/g of MT) into hermetic jars. MT were mixed and the jars were then kept in a static 205 incubator for 24 h at 37 °C. The control "acid hydrolysis sample" was MT acidified to pH 3.7 with lactic 206 acid. The "enzymatic hydrolysis sample" corresponded to MT treated with 1 U of almond β -glucosidases 207 (Sigma-Aldrich, G0395-2.5KU) per g of tomato as control of the enzymatic activity. These conditions 208 were noted LA and Glu, respectively. The control samples were also incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. 209 Analyses were made extemporaneously. The MT were analysed immediately after blending as a reference without any treatment, and this condition was called "untreated tomatoes" (UT). The entire experimentwas carried out in triplicate.

212 *2.8. Volatile compound extraction*

213 Volatile compounds were extracted by headspace-solid phase microextraction (HS-SPME). Three 214 fibre coatings were tested on the MT to select the most suitable fibre coating for the tomato volatile 215 compounds. 100 µm polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), 65 µm PDMS/divinylbenzene (PDMS/DVB), and 75 216 µm carboxen/PDMS (CAR/PDMS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The extraction was carried out 217 in 20 mL headspace glass vial sealed tightly with crimp cap and PTFE/silicone septum. The MT (5 g) and 218 10 µL of 4-nonanol (70.77 mg/kg) as internal standard were placed in the vial. Before inserting the fibre, 219 the vial was previously conditioned by stirring for 20 min at 40 °C. The fibre was then exposed to the 220 headspace for 40 min at the same temperature (Di Cagno et al., 2009b). The volatile compounds adsorbed 221 by SPME fibre were desorbed by splitless injection at 250 °C for 5 min in the GC injector port.

222 *2.9. Volatile compound analysis*

223 GC/FID was used to select the fibre coatings and gas chromatography (PerkinElmer, Clarus 580) 224 coupled with mass spectrometer (GC/MS, PerkinElmer, Clarus SQ 8S) was utilised to identify and 225 quantify the volatile compounds. GC/FID was equipped with UBWax capillary column (30 m by 320 μm 226 by 0.25 µm) and GC/MS was equipped with Elite-5MS capillary column (30 m by 250 µm by 0.25 µm). 227 Nitrogen (GC/FID) and helium (GC/MS) were the carrier gas at a linear flow rate 4.3 mL/min and 1.0 228 mL/min, respectively. The oven temperature was programmed to increase from 48 °C (hold for 2 min) to 229 110 °C at 2 °C/min, then from 110 to 250 °C at 10 °C/min (hold for 5 min). Flame ionisation temperature 230 was 300 °C and interface temperature was 240 °C. Mass spectra were obtained using an ionisation source 231 with an electronic impact of 70 eV. Mass scans of the sample quadrupole type monitoring ranged from 232 40-350 m/z. The identification of volatile compounds was mainly achieved by comparing their mass spectra with those of known compounds held in the NIST mass spectral database as well as laboratorydatabases.

235 *2.10. Statistical analysis*

All experiments were carried out at least twice independently. The data were analysed using analysis of variance (one-way Anova) and Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test (HSD) (the level of significance was 5%) by the XLSTAT statistical software (version 16.0). In this study, principal component analysis (PCA) was used to detect the correlation between variables, the volatile compounds in fermented tomatoes and their treatments.

III. Results

242 3.1. Determination of β -glucosidase activity

243 Two hundred isolates were selected from 25 fermented foods and screened on EIA for βglucosidase activity. Eighty isolates were positive for β -glucosidase according to the brown or black 244 colour of their colony. The β -glucosidase activity of these 80 isolates was evaluated at 37 °C (from 22 h 245 246 culture). Fourteen isolates had an activity greater than 10 UA (Fig. 1), while the other 66 had a β -247 glucosidase activity lower than 10 UA (data not shown). The highest activity detected was 27 UA for 248 isolates C022-2B and C022-3B. Other isolates exhibited about half this activity like V0023-4B2 (12 UA). 249 For comparison, *L. plantarum* B33 presented a β-glucosidase activity of 17 UA. The quantification of activity was also checked for V013-1A, which was negative for β -glucosidase activity on EIA plate, and 250 251 no activity was detected in the *p*NPG assay, confirming its phenotype.

252 *3.2. Elimination of duplicate isolates*

253 To discard duplicate isolates among the β -glucosidase-positive isolates, the RAPD-PCR profiles 254 from 55 isolates (the 14 isolates with the highest activities and 41 isolates randomly selected) were 255 analysed. As an example, the different banding patterns obtained with four isolates are shown in Fig. 2. In 256 the case of the two isolates C022-3B and V0023-4B2, the PCR-amplified DNA fragments with the primers P2, P4, and M13 were identical. On the contrary, the primers OPL5 generated two different 257 258 profiles which enabled us to distinguish these isolates (Fig. 2). The analysis of the amplification profiles 259 of the 55 isolates with the primers P2, P4, OPL5, and M13 released 30, 33, 33, and 36 different RAPD-260 PCR profiles, respectively. Finally, the comparison of the combined RAPD-PCR fingerprinting profiles 261 provided 40 different strains. It revealed redundancy among the 41 isolates with β -glucosidase activity 262 lower than 10 UA but not among the 14 isolates with an activity greater than 10 UA.

263 3.3. Identification of bacterial species by 16S rRNA and multiplex PCR

All the 14 β -glucosidase-positive strains, belonged to *Lactiplantibacillus* group (*L. plantarum*, *L. paraplantarum*, and *L. pentosus*) and the β -glucosidase-negative (V013-1A) was *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* according to the 16S rRNA gene sequencing, with homology threshold values higher than 99% (Table 2). As 16S rRNA gene sequencing cannot discriminate between the three species, multiplex PCR assays were carried out on the 14 β -glucosidase-positive strains. Thirteen strains had fragments of the same size of 318 bp corresponding to *L. plantarum*. Only strain F2 gave a fragment of 218 bp corresponding to *L. pentosus* (Fig. 3).

271 *3.4. Reduction of aldehydes and ketones into alcohols*

Four strains selected for their diverse β -glucosidase activity were tested for their potential to reduce aldehydes into their corresponding alcohols: *L. plantarum* C022-2B and C022-3B, the two highest producer strains, *L. plantarum* V0023-4B2, an intermediate producer, and *L. fermentum* V013-1A, exhibiting no detectable β -glucosidase activity. Hexanal and (*E*)-2-hexenal were used as test substrates as they are short-chain saturated and unsaturated aldehydes present in mashed tomatoes and important contributors to the tomato aroma (Wang et al., 2016). *L. fermentum* V013-1A was able to reduce hexanal 278 $(3.85 \times 10^{-8} \text{ UA})$ and (E)-2-hexenal $(2.47 \times 10^{-8} \text{ UA})$ into hexanol and (E)-2-hexenol, respectively (Table 279 3). No alcohol was detected in the *L. plantarum* C022-2B, C022-3B, and V0023-4B2 vials under the 280 above conditions. Therefore, an adjustment was made by concentrating bacterial cells ten times. Indeed, 281 *L. plantarum* C022-2B, C022-3B, and V0023-4B2 could only reduce hexanal with a far lower reduction 282 rate than *L. fermentum* V013-1A. For *L. plantarum* strains, no reduction of (E)-2-hexenal was observed.

L. fermentum V013-1A seemed to have the greatest potential for aldehyde reduction ability. Consequently, more aldehyde and ketone substrates were tested. As shown in Table 4, *L. fermentum* V013-1A was capable of converting (*E*)-2-heptenal (2.46 × 10⁻⁸ UA) and (*E*,*E*)-2,4-decadienal (3.69 × 10⁻⁸ UA) to (*E*)-2-heptenol and (*E*,*E*)-2,4-decadienol, respectively. This strain could also convert 6,10dimethyl-5,9-undecadien-2-one (1.26 × 10⁻⁸ UA) to 6,10-dimethyl-5,9-undecadien-2-ol but not 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one. Therefore, *L. fermentum* V013-1A was able to reduce aldehydes and ketones to their corresponding alcohols with a reduction ability greater for aldehydes than for ketones.

3.5. Fermented tomato analysis

3.5.1. pH and bacterial enumeration

The four strains exhibiting various enzymatic properties were used individually as starters for tomato fermentation.. For the four strains, during fermentation of MT (initial pH 4.3) the pH value dropped to 3.5-3.8 and the total number of lactobacilli increased from 1.0×10^5 CFU/g (initial inoculation) to $1.2 \times 10^8 - 1.5 \times 10^9$ CFU/g, demonstrating that the four strains were able to grow and ferment MT individually. For LA and Glu, lactobacilli counts were 1.1×10^7 and 5.4×10^7 CFU/g after incubation, showing that a spontaneous fermentation took place reaching lower bacterial counts than with starters (Table 5).

3.5.2. Volatile compound analysis

The volatile compounds in UT, LA, Glu, and fermented tomatoes were extracted by HS-SPME. Among the three fibre coatings tested, PDMS/DVB was the preferred coating material as it gave results with all the families of compounds. The volatile compounds were identified and quantified by GC/MS. Fifty-eight volatile compounds were grouped according to the chemical classes: aldehydes, alcohols, ketones, terpenes, organic acids, and miscellaneous (Table 6).

As shown in Table 6, most of the aldehydes found in untreated tomato (UT) had their concentration 305 306 decreasing, at least three times, and sometimes even reached zero during fermentation and β -glucosidase 307 treatment. They also decreased, but slightly (about 1.4 folds), during the lactic acid treatment (LA). 308 Among fermented tomatoes, LF1A (tomatoes fermented with L. fermentum V013-1A) contained the 309 lowest amount of aldehydes with a 36-fold decrease compared to UT. Only β -cyclocitral, β -citral, and α -310 citral remained in all fermented tomatoes. The levels of aldehydes derived from the LOX pathway such as 311 hexanal, (E)-2-hexenal, (E)-2-octenal, and (E)-2-nonenal were similar in UT and LA whereas they were 312 not detectable or very low in the other treatments.

313 Unlike aldehydes, most alcohols detected in our study were not found in UT and LA (Table 6). 314 Inversely correlated with the degradation of aldehydes, the concentration of alcohols significantly increased during fermentation and β -glucosidase treatment. The level of alcohol was the highest in LF1A, 315 316 where it was about twice as high as in other fermented tomatoes. The alcohols present in high 317 concentrations in all fermented tomatoes were hexanol and (E)-2-octenol (both derived from the LOX 318 pathway), and 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-ol and 6,10-dimethyl-5,9-undecadien-2-ol. Low concentrations of 319 phenolic volatiles, including 2-phenylethanol and 4-ethylphenol, appeared during fermentation and 320 treatment. 2,3-Butanediol only increased significantly in LP2B (tomatoes fermented with L. plantarum 321 C022-2B).

322 The concentration of ketones increased moderately in all fermented tomatoes and in Glu and LA, 323 except in LF1A where the concentration decreased drastically (Table 6). Ketone levels were similar in 324 LP2B and LP3B (tomatoes fermented with L. plantarum C022-2B and C022-3B, respectively). These 325 levels were both higher than those in LP4B (tomatoes fermented with L. plantarum V0023-4B2), Glu and 326 LA. 6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one (MHO) and geranylacetone were the most abundant ketones in UT, Glu, 327 LA, and fermented tomatoes, but they decreased significantly in LF1A. Although the total concentration 328 of terpenes did not change considerably during fermentation, several terpenes important for aroma 329 profiles appeared, including (Z)-geraniol, 6,7-dihydrogeraniol, melonol, linalool, and D-limonene (Table 330 6).

331 Acetic acid was undetected in UT but it was found in LP2B and LF1A.

332 Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to identify patterns and detect correlations between 333 volatile compounds and tomato treatments. The first and second components represented 67.90% and 334 24.67% of the total variance, respectively (Fig. 4). LA was close to UT, which indicates that they were significantly positively correlated. Acid hydrolysis therefore was unlikely to modify the aroma profiles of 335 336 tomatoes. In contrast, LP2B, LP3B, LP4B, and Glu were almost orthogonal with UT, which signifies that 337 they were not correlated with UT. LF1A was nearly on the opposite side of the UT, which suggests that 338 they were significantly negatively correlated. Consequently, β -glucosidase activity and LAB had a 339 considerable effect on the volatile compounds of tomatoes. The score plot (Fig. 4) clearly shows the 340 effects of the treatments on volatile compounds of tomatoes. UT and LA were rich in aldehydes. LP2B, 341 LP3B, LP4B, and Glu were likely abundant in ketones. LF1A differed considerably from other fermented 342 tomatoes with the abundant presence of alcohols and acetic acid. These results highlight the effect of 343 LAB on volatile compounds of fermented tomatoes.

IV. Discussion

Besides its great potential to enhance sustainability, nutrition, and safety, fermentation can also have an impact on the sensorial properties of a product (Beena Divya et al., 2012; Leroy and De Vuyst, 2004). Although traditional spontaneous fermentation usually brings some suitable properties, the selection of starters based on specific enzymatic activities can bring a step forward in a clean label and natural oriented fermentation leading to food exhibiting specific sensorial properties. The present work follows this approach focusing on some activities able to bring important sensorial notes to tomatoes.

351 The first target of our work was the volatile compounds produced during plant metabolism. These volatile compounds in plant can exhibit toxicity or have a communication role and therefore, the plant itself 352 353 inactivates them through glycosylation to decrease toxicity or to control communication between plant 354 cells. Most of the volatile compounds accumulate in fruits/vegetables as non-volatile and odourless 355 glycosides. These flavouring volatile compounds can be activated sensorially again by hydrolysing the 356 sugar bond and releasing the volatile aglycone. This reaction can be catalysed by glucosidase enzymes 357 (Sarry and Günata, 2004; Song et al., 2018). β-Glucosidase genes are widespread in LAB genomes. β-358 Glucosidase activities from LAB have been reported in many plant food matrices such as olives, 359 soybeans, grapes, and cassava. They can hydrolyse a broad range of substrates (Michlmayr and Kneifel, 360 2014) but their substrate (aglycone) specificity is difficult to predict based on gene sequence. Thus, the 361 first step in screening for specific β -glucosidases must be experimental. Aglycone specificity seems to 362 have evolved during bacterial adaptation to the ecological niche as well as the environmental conditions for the induction of the enzymatic activity (Michlmayr and Kneifel, 2014). These assumptions suggest 363 364 that the selection of LAB from various type of fermented foods would permit to get various β-glucosidase 365 activities and therefore various aroma profiles.

366 The selected strains exhibiting β -glucosidase activity have been evaluated for their capability to 367 release volatile compounds in comparison with the effect of lactic acid to stimulate hydrolysis and with the addition of a β-glucosidase enzyme from almonds. In our study, terpenoids were the main volatiles 368 369 released after β -glucosidase treatment. A slight increase in the concentration of terpene ketones was 370 observed not only in Glu but also in LA (Table 6), confirming that, besides β -glucosidase activity, acid 371 hydrolysis had also an impact on ketone generation. For the glucosidase-exhibiting strains, the result of 372 volatile compounds generation correlates with the β -glucosidase activity values with ketone levels higher 373 in LP2B and LP3B than in LP4B (β-glucosidase activity: 27 UA for *L. plantarum* C022-2B and C022-3B 374 and 12 UA for L. plantarum V0023-4B2). MHO and geranylacetone were the most abundant ketones in 375 all treatments except in LF1A (Table 6). These compounds give fruity or floral notes in tomato fruits 376 (Wang et al., 2016) and they are initially derived from lycopene (Vogel et al., 2010).

377 Other important terpenes such as (Z)-geraniol, 6,7-dihydrogeraniol, melonol, linalool, and D-378 limonene were also detected in fermented tomatoes (Table 6). They can theoretically be released from 379 fruit glycosides by β-glucosidase or acid hydrolysis (Fenoll et al., 2009; Hellín et al., 2010; Ortiz-Serrano 380 and Gil, 2010). However, the results in LA and Glu were not significantly different from the ones in UT 381 whereas several important increases in concentration where observed after fermentation although this was 382 strain- and compound-specific. For instance, geraniol appeared in all fermentations while limonene 383 increased significantly in three media but not in LP2B (Table 6). This latter medium was the one 384 containing the highest concentration of melonol and linalool. All these results suggest that the release of 385 terpenoids could either come from glycosides after the action of specific glucosidases or from other 386 metabolic activities present differently in the lactic acid bacteria. Our results show that the production of 387 volatile terpenes is a characteristic showing differences at the strain level in LAB.

388 A family of flavouring compounds exhibiting a huge importance in many plant products is the 389 green note family. These compounds, volatile aldehydes and alcohols, are generated from the 390 lipoxygenase (LOX) pathway and are responsible for the fresh and green sensorial notes in fruits and 391 vegetables. The LOX pathway is a multi-enzymatic system in which polyunsaturated fatty acids are 392 converted into aldehydes and alcohols by the sequential action of lipoxygenase, hydroperoxide lyase 393 (HPL) and alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) (Akacha and Gargouri, 2015; Christensen et al., 2007). This 394 pathway generally occurs in fruits during ripening or upon tissue disruption (maceration or blending). 395 Therefore, most of the aldehydes detected in UT and LA were likely released from fatty acids via the 396 LOX pathway. The LOX-pathway-derived aldehydes include hexanal, (E)-2-hexenal, heptanal, (Z)-2-397 heptenal, (E)-2-octenal, nonanal, and (E)-2-nonenal (Table 6). The LOX-alcohols homologues of 398 aldehydes were not detected in UT, showing that the final reduction of aldehydes by alcohol 399 dehydrogenase was not active. However, this reduction of green notes is important for the sensorial 400 properties as alcohols exhibit either the same sensorial properties as aldehydes but with higher detection 401 thresholds or exhibit notes less green and fruitier (Hu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016). Green notes are 402 typical of tomato flavour but their transformation to fruity notes can bring interesting sensorial properties 403 to the fermented product. When we investigated the ADH activity of the various strains in resting cells, 404 we noticed that L. fermentum exhibited considerably higher activities than L. plantarum strains on most 405 aldehydes and ketones present in the medium (Table 3 and 4). Our test with resting cells presented a 406 slight difference in ketone reduction compared to what occurred in tomatoes. For example, 6-methyl-5-407 hepten-2-one (MHO) was not reduced with resting cells in buffer (Table 4) but its corresponding alcohol 408 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-ol was found in the complex LF1A (Table 6).

In contrast to this wide-range activity of *L. fermentum* ADH, ADHs of *L. plantarum* strains were substrate selective. *L. plantarum* C022-3B and V0023-4B2 were active only on saturated aldehydes, while C022-2B was active on unsaturated aldehydes. ADHs of *L. plantarum* strains were also active on unsaturated ketones (Table 6). LAB genomes possess several *adh* family genes which code various functional domains (Haberland et al., 2002; Hu et al., 2019). For instance, *L. plantarum* WCFS1 414 possessed nine *adh* genes and *L. fermentum* IFO 3956 ten *adh* genes among which only four are *L.* 415 *plantarum* homologs (Bioinformatic analysis using Biocyc database) (Karp et al., 2017). The differences 416 in substrate specificity observed between ADH activities of the two species could be due to the presence 417 of specific ADH enzymes. This point of characterisation of the diversity of *adh* genes in relation with the 418 activity will be our interest for the next study.

Eventually, besides the strain potential to release terpenoids or to transform aldehydes and ketones into alcohols, we also found a sign of heterolactic fermentation in fermented products. Indeed, acetic acid was encountered in fermented matrices but not in UT (Table 6). Unsurprisingly, the medium containing the highest concentration was LF1A. This is consistent with the fact that *L. fermentum* V013-1A is obligately heterofermentative (Ibrahim, 2016). Acetic acid together with 2,3-butanediol were also identified in LP2B (Table 6), showing that *L. plantarum* C022-2B was probably heterofermentative during tomato fermentation (Ibrahim, 2016; Renchinkhand et al., 2015)

426 Similar research by Di Cagno et al. (2009b) on tomato juices fermented with allochthonous *L*.
427 *plantarum* LP54 was discriminated from autochthonous strains, especially by high levels of esters,
428 alcohols and sulphur compounds and a few furans.

429 V. Conclusions

Our results confirm that fermentation has a significant impact on the presence of volatile compounds in tomatoes. The strategy focusing on strains exhibiting various levels of β -glucosidase and alcohol dehydrogenase activities is of interest as it leads to various levels of volatile compounds. However, for the generation of terpenoids that provide flower and fruit flavours, our screening procedure was not able to explain the differences among the strains.

For ADH activity, *L. fermentum* exhibited a high activity, which confirms in fermentation as most of the target aldehydes and ketones disappeared and were replaced by their corresponding alcohols. The 437 *L. plantarum* strains exhibited a lower activity but with an important substrate-selectivity diversity. The 438 differences in substrate specificity observed between ADH activities of the two species could be due to 439 the presence of specific ADH enzymes. This point of characterisation of the diversity of *adh* genes in 440 relation with the activity will be our interest for the next study.

The presence of products of the heterolactic pathway also depended on the strains with the obligately heterofermentive *L. fermentum* producing mainly acetic acid whereas butanediol was also detected in *L. plantarum* C022-2B.

444 Together, these results bring about data confirming the metabolic diversity at the strain level and 445 they can be utilised for the building of starters able to formulate specific flavours.

446

447 Acknowledgements

448 Da Lorn was granted by the French Embassy in Phnom Penh and the Erasmus+ CamFoodTech 449 project. The work was supported by AgroSup Dijon and by the French "Investissements d'Avenir" 450 program, ISITE-BFC project (contract ANR-15-IDEX-0003). The authors are thankful to Prof. Hélène 451 Poirier for her support, to Christine Rojas, for her technical help, and to Dr. Thi Thanh Thuy NGUYEN 452 from Vietnam National University of Agriculture in Hanoi for providing generously the samples of 453 fermented chili paste (Tuong Ot). The constant discussions with Alain Etiévant and L'Atelier-du-Fruit 454 SAS are acknowledged in the frame of the FOVEA project (Fermentation of Plants).

455 **References**

- WHO, 2003. Promoting fruit and vegetable consumption around the world [WWW document] URL.
 https://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/fruit/en/
- Akacha, N. Ben, Gargouri, M., 2015. Microbial and enzymatic technologies used for the production of natural aroma
 compounds: Synthesis, recovery modeling, and bioprocesses. Food Bioprod. Process. 94, 675–706.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2014.09.011
- 461 Bancalari, E., Savo Sardaro, M.L., Levante, A., Marseglia, A., Caligiani, A., Lazzi, C., Neviani, E., Gatti, M., 2017. An

- 462 integrated strategy to discover Lactobacillus casei group strains for their potential use as aromatic starters. Food Res. Int.
- 463 100, 682–690. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.07.066
- Beena Divya, J., Kulangara Varsha, K., Madhavan Nampoothiri, K., Ismail, B., Pandey, A., 2012. Probiotic fermented foods
 for health benefits. Eng. Life Sci. 12, 377–390. https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201100179
- 466 Cao-Hoang, L., Chu-ky, S., Ho, P.H., Husson, F., Le Thanh, B., Le-Thanh, M., Nguyen Thi Hoai, T., Tran Thi Minh, K., Tu
- Viet, P., Valentin, D., Waché, Y., 2013. Tropical traditional fermented food, a field full of promise. Examples from the
 Tropical Bioresources and Biotechnology programme and other related French Vietnamese programmes on fermented
- 469 food. Int. J. food Sci. Technol. 48, 1115–1126. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12064
- 470 Christensen, L.P., Edelenbos, M., Stine Kreutzmann, 2007. Formation of Flavours in Fruits and Vegetables, in: Berger, R.G.
- 471 (Ed.), Flavours and Fragrances: Chemistry, Bioprocessing and Sustainability. Springer Science & Business Media., pp.
 472 135–187.
- 473 Corsetti, A., Angelis, M. De, Dellaglio, F., Paparella, A., Fox, P.F., Settanni, L., 2003. Characterization of sourdough lactic
 474 acid bacteria based on genotypic and cell-wall protein analyses. J. Appl. Microbiol. 94, 641–654.
- Di Cagno, R., Coda, R., De Angelis, M., Gobbetti, M., 2013. Exploitation of vegetables and fruits through lactic acid
 fermentation. Food Microbiol. 33, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2012.09.003
- 477 Di Cagno, R., Rizzello, C.G., Gagliardi, F., Ricciuti, P., Ndagijimana, M., Francavilla, R., Guerzoni, M.E., Crecchio, C., 478 Gobbetti, M., De Angelis, M., 2009a. Different Fecal Microbiotas and Volatile Organic Compounds in Treated and 479 3963 LP Untreated Children with Celiac Disease. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 3971. 480 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02793-08
- 481 Di Cagno, R., Surico, R.F., Paradiso, A., De Angelis, M., Salmon, J.C., Buchin, S., De Gara, L., Gobbetti, M., 2009b. Effect of
 482 autochthonous lactic acid bacteria starters on health-promoting and sensory properties of tomato juices. Int. J. Food
 483 Microbiol. 128, 473–483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.10.017
- 484 Endo, A., Mizuno, H., Okada, S., 2008. Monitoring the bacterial community during fermentation of sunki, an unsalted,
 485 fermented vegetable traditional to the Kiso area of Japan. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 47, 221–226.
 486 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02404.x
- 487 Fan, G., Xu, Y., Zhang, X., Lei, S., Yang, S., Pan, S., 2011. Characteristics of immobilised β-glucosidase and its effect on
 488 bound volatile compounds in orange juice. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 46, 2312–2320. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365489 2621.2011.02751.x
- 490 Fan, L., Hansen, L.T., 2012. Fermentation and biopreservation of plant-based foods with lactic acid bacteria, in: Hui, Y.H.

- 491 (Ed.), Handbook of Plant Based Fermented Food and Beverage Technology. CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA, pp. 35–48.
- 492 Fenoll, J., Manso, A., Hellín, P., Ruiz, L., Flores, P., 2009. Changes in the aromatic composition of the Vitis vinifera grape
 493 Muscat Hamburg during ripening. Food Chem. 114, 420–428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.09.060
- 494 Gänzle, M.G., 2015. Lactic metabolism revisited: Metabolism of lactic acid bacteria in food fermentations and food spoilage.
- 495 Curr. Opin. Food Sci. 2, 106–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2015.03.001
- Grimaldi, A., Bartowsky, E., Jiranek, V., 2005. Screening of Lactobacillus spp. and Pediococcus spp. for glycosidase activities
 that are important in oenology. J. Appl. Microbiol. 99, 1061–1069. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02707.x
- Haberland, J., Hummel, W., Daussmann, T., Liese, A., 2002. New continuous production process for enantiopure (2R, 5R)hexanediol. Org. Process Res. Dev. 52, 289–290. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1021/op020023t
- Hellín, P., Manso, A., Flores, P., Fenoll, J., 2010. Evolution of aroma and phenolic compounds during ripening of 'superior
 seedless' grapes. J. Agric. Food Chem. 58, 6334–6340. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf100448k
- Hu, Z., Jia, P., Bai, Y., Fan, T. ping, Zheng, X., Cai, Y., 2019. Characterisation of five alcohol dehydrogenases from
 Lactobacillus reuteri DSM20016. Process Biochem. 86, 73–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2019.08.010
- 504 Ibrahim, S.A., 2016. Lactic Acid Bacteria: Lactobacillus spp.: Other Species. Ref. Modul. Food Sci.
 505 https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-100596-5.00857-x
- 506 Karp, P.D., Billington, R., Caspi, R., Fulcher, C.A., Latendresse, M., Kothari, A., Keseler, I.M., Krummenacker, M., Midford,
- 507 P.E., Ong, Q., Ong, W.K., Paley, S.M., Subhraveti, P., 2017. The BioCyc collection of microbial genomes and metabolic
 508 pathways. Brief. Bioinform. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx085
- 509 Krisch, J., Takó, M., Papp, T., Vágvölgyi, C., 2010. Characteristics and potential use of β-glucosidases from Zygomycetes, in:
- 510 Méndez-Vilas, A. (Ed.), Current Research, Technology and Education Topics in Applied Microbiology and Microbial
 511 Biotechnology. FORMATEX, pp. 891–896.
- 512 Leroy, F., De Vuyst, L., 2004. Lactic acid bacteria as functional starter cultures for the food fermentation industry. Trends
 513 Food Sci. Technol. 15, 67–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2003.09.004
- 514 Lucena-Padrós, H., Caballero-Guerrero, B., Maldonado-Barragán, A., Ruiz-Barba, J.L., 2014. Microbial diversity and
- 515 dynamics of Spanish-style green table-olive fermentations in large manufacturing companies through culture-dependent 516 techniques. Food Microbiol. 42, 154–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2014.03.020
- Michlmayr, H., Kneifel, W., 2014. B-Glucosidase activities of lactic acid bacteria: Mechanisms, impact on fermented food and
 human health. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 352, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6968.12348
- 519 Nguyen, D.T.L., Van Hoorde, K., Cnockaert, M., De Brandt, E., Aerts, M., Binh Thanh, L., Vandamme, P., 2013. A

- description of the lactic acid bacteria microbiota associated with the production of traditional fermented vegetables in
 Vietnam. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 163, 19–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.01.024
- Ortiz-Serrano, P., Gil, J.V., 2010. Quantitative comparison of free and bound volatiles of two commercial tomato cultivars
 (Solanum lycopersicum L.) during ripening. J. Agric. Food Chem. 58, 1106–1114. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf903366r
- Patra, J.K., Das, G., Paramithiotis, S., Shin, H.S., 2016. Kimchi and other widely consumed traditional fermented foods of
 Korea: A review. Front. Microbiol. 7, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01493
- 526 Renchinkhand, G., Park, Y.W., Cho, S.H., Song, G.Y., Bae, H.C., Choi, S.J., Nam, M.S., 2015. Identification of β-glucosidase
- 527 activity of lactobacillus plantarumCRNB22 in kimchi and its potential to convert ginsenoside Rb1 from panax ginseng. J.
 528 Food Biochem. 39, 155–163. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12116
- 529 Sarry, J.E., Günata, Z., 2004. Plant and microbial glycoside hydrolases: Volatile release from glycosidic aroma precursors.
 530 Food Chem. 87, 509–521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.01.003
- Sestelo, A.B.F., Poza, M., Villa, T.G., 2004. ß-Glucosidase activity in a Lactobacillus plantarum wine strain. World J.
 Microbiol. Biotechnol. 20, 633–637. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:WIBI.0000043195.80695.17
- Song, C., Härtl, K., McGraphery, K., Hoffmann, T., Schwab, W., 2018. Attractive but Toxic: Emerging Roles of
 Glycosidically Bound Volatiles and Glycosyltransferases Involved in Their Formation. Mol. Plant 11, 1225–1236.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2018.09.001
- 536 Steinkraus, K.H., 1995. Handbook of Indigenous Fermented Foods, revised and expanded, Second. ed. CRC Press.
- Su, E., Xia, T., Gao, L., Dai, Q., Zhang, Z., 2010. Immobilization of β-glucosidase and its aroma-increasing effect on tea
 beverage. Food Bioprod. Process. 88, 83–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2009.04.001
- Tamang, J.P., Shin, D.H., Jung, S.J., Chae, S.W., 2016a. Functional properties of microorganisms in fermented foods. Front.
 Microbiol. 7, 578. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00578
- Tamang, J.P., Tamang, B., Schillinger, U., Franz, C.M.A.P., Gores, M., Holzapfel, W.H., 2005. Identification of predominant
 lactic acid bacteria isolated from traditionally fermented vegetable products of the Eastern Himalayas. Int. J. Food
 Microbiol. 105, 347–356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.04.024
- Tamang, J.P., Watanabe, K., Holzapfel, W.H., 2016b. Review: Diversity of microorganisms in global fermented foods and
 beverages. Front. Microbiol. 7, 377. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00377
- 546 Torriani, S., Felis, G.E., Dellaglio, F., 2001. Differentiation of Lactobacillus plantarum, L. pentosus, and L. paraplantarum by
- 547 recA Gene Sequence Analysis and Multiplex PCR Assay with recA Gene-Derived Primers. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
- 548 67, 3450 LP 3454. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.8.3450-3454.2001

- 549 Try, S., De-Coninck, J., Voilley, A., Chunhieng, T., Waché, Y., 2018. Solid state fermentation for the production of γ 550 decalactones by Yarrowia lipolytica. Process Biochem. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2017.10.004
- Vogel, J.T., Tieman, D.M., Sims, C.A., Odabasi, A.Z., Clark, D.G., Klee, H.J., 2010. Carotenoid content impacts flavor
 acceptability in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum). J. Sci. Food Agric. 90, 2233–2240. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.4076
- Wang, L., Baldwin, E.A., Bai, J., 2016. Recent Advance in Aromatic Volatile Research in Tomato Fruit: The Metabolisms and
 Regulations. Food Bioprocess Technol. 9, 203–216. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-015-1638-1
- 555 Zheng, J., Wittouck, S., Salvetti, E., Franz, C.M.A.P., Harris, H.M.B., Mattarelli, P., O'Toole, P.W., Pot, B., Vandamme, P.,
- 556 Walter, J., Watanabe, K., Wuyts, S., Felis, G.E., Gänzle, M.G., Lebeer, S., 2020. A taxonomic note on the genus
- 557 Lactobacillus: Description of 23 novel genera, emended description of the genus Lactobacillus Beijerinck 1901, and
- 558 union of Lactobacillaceae and Leuconostocaceae. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 70, 2782–2858.
- 559 https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004107

560

561 List of tables

Matrix	Sample	Origin
Fermented vegetables	Fermented gherkins (2)	Phsar Pochentong, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
	Fermented cucumber pieces (1)	Phsar Depo, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
	Fermented young melons (1)	Phsar Pochentong, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
	Fermented mustard cabbages (5)	Phsar Pochentong and Phsar Depo, Phnom Penh,
		Cambodia
		Chợ Châu Long, Hanoi, Vietnam
	Fermented shredded wild mustard leaves	Phsar Depo, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
	(2)	Chợ Chính Kinh, Hanoi, Vietnam
	Fermented shredded white cabbages and carrots (1)	Chợ Châu Long, Hanoi, Vietnam
	Fermented Thai small round white aubergines (1)	Chợ Chính Kinh, Hanoi, Vietnam
	Fermented Thai big round white aubergines (1)	Chợ Chính Kinh, Hanoi, Vietnam
	Fermented shallot roots (2)	Phsar Depo, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
		Chợ Chính Kinh, Hanoi, Vietnam
	Fermented chili pepper puree (1)	Mường Khương, Lao Cai district, Vietnam
Fermented legumes	Fermented soybeans (2)	Phsar Pochentong and Phsar Depo, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
Fermented meats	Raw fermented fish (1)	Phsar Depo, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
	Raw fermented pork (4)	Chợ Hôm, Hanoi, Vietnam
		Dũng Nem - Đặc Sản Ước Lễ Gia Truyền, Hanoi,
		Vietnam
Raw material	Fresh banana leaf (1)	Dũng Nem - Đặc Sản Ước Lễ Gia Truyền, Hanoi, Vietnam

Table 1. Fermented foods collected for this study and their origin.

564	Table 2. Lactic acid ba	acteria species ide	entification by molecular	approaches and their	fermented foods origin
-----	-------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------	----------------------	------------------------

Ctrain anda	Species	Formantad food	Country
Strain code	species	Fermented food	Country
C022-2B	L. plantarum	Fermented shallot roots	Cambodia
C022-3A	L. plantarum	Fermented shallot roots	Cambodia
C022-3B	L. plantarum	Fermented shallot roots	Cambodia
C022-4A	L. plantarum	Fermented shallot roots	Cambodia
C022-4B	L. plantarum	Fermented shallot roots	Cambodia
F1	L. plantarum	Raw fermented fish "nem trey"	Cambodia
F2	L. pentosus	Raw fermented fish "nem trey"	Cambodia
V0023-4B2	L. plantarum	Raw fermented pork "nem chua"	Vietnam
V053-4B	L. plantarum	Fermented small round aubergine	Vietnam
V073-3A1	L. plantarum	Fermented shallot roots	Vietnam
V073-4A	L. plantarum	Fermented shallot roots	Vietnam
V073-4B	L. plantarum	Fermented shallot roots	Vietnam
V083-4A	L. plantarum	Fresh banana leaf	Vietnam
V083-4B	L. plantarum	Fresh banana leaf	Vietnam
V013-1A	L. fermentum	Raw fermented pork "nem chua"	Vietnam
B33	L. plantarum	Raw fermented pork "nem chua"	Vietnam

Table 3. Reduction of aldehydes into alcohols by ADH activity of *L. plantarum* C022-2B, C022-3B, and V0023-4B2 and *L. fermentum* V013-1A.

Strain	Substrate disappearance rate (\times 10 ⁻⁸ UA)		Product appearance rate (× 10 ⁻⁸ UA)		
L. plantarum C022-2B	Hexanal	0.44 ± 0.05	Hexanol	0.31 ± 0.11	
	(E)-2-hexenal	n.d.	(E)-2-hexenol	n.d.	
L. plantarum C022-3B	Hexanal	< 0.44	Hexanol	< 0.31	
	(E)-2-hexenal	n.d.	(E)-2-hexenol	n.d.	
L. plantarum V0023-4B2	Hexanal	< 0.44	Hexanol	< 0.31	
	(E)-2-hexenal	n.d.	(E)-2-hexenol	n.d.	
L. fermentum V013-1A	Hexanal	3.85 ± 0.18	Hexanol	3.70 ± 0.42	
	(E)-2-hexenal	2.47 ± 0.67	(E)-2-hexenol	2.58 ± 0.26	

567 n.d. = not detectable

568 Values are expressed as means of triplicate experiments. One unit of enzymatic activity (UA) was defined as the amount of a

substrate (nmol) reduced into a product per minute per CFU.

570

571

Strain	Substrate disappearance rate		Product appea	arance rate		
	(× 10 ⁻⁸ UA)		(× 10 ⁻⁸ UA)			
L. fermentum	(E)-2-heptenal	2.46 ± 0.25	(E)-2-hepteno		3.13 ± 0.2	
V013-1A	(E,E)-2,4-decadienal	3.69 ± 0.00	(<i>E,E</i>)-2,4-deca	(E,E)-2,4-decadienol		
	6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	n.d.	6-Methyl-5-he	epten-2-ol	n.d.	
	6,10-Dimethyl-5,9-undecadien-2-one	1.26 ± 0.14	6,10-Dimethyl	-5,9-undecadien-2-ol	0.81 ± 0.0	
n.d. = not detec	table					
Volues and over	accord on many of triplicate automistants	One unit of one		(UA) was defined as th	a amount of	
values are expl	ressed as means of implicate experiments.	One unit of enz	ymatic activity	(UA) was defined as th	e amount of	
substrate (nmol) reduced into a product per minute per C	FU.				
Table 5. Total	lactobacilli count on MRS agar plates (CF	FU/g) and pH of	tomatoes ferme	ented with the selected	LAB strains	
controls.						
Controlog						
	Treatment		рН	Total lactobacilli		
				(CFU/g)		
	Untreated tomatoes (UT)		4.3	8.3 × 10 ²		
	Lactic-acid-treated tomatoes (LA)		3.7	1.1×10^{7}		
	Glucosidase-treated tomatoes (Glu)		4.1	5.4×10^{7}		
	Fermented tomatoes with L. plantarur	m C022-2B (LP2I	3) 3.7	7.5×10^{8}		
	Fermented tomatoes with L. plantarur	m C022-3B (LP3I	3) 3.7	$7.0 imes 10^{8}$		
	Fermented tomatoes with L. plantarur	m V0023-4B2 (LI	P4B) 3.8	1.5×10^{9}		
	Fermented tomatoes with L. fermentu	<i>m</i> V013-1A (LF1	A) 3.5	1.2×10^{8}		
Ean all ann diti	to make a second in such as a few 24 h at	27 °C and and		also another UT for		
For all condition	ons, tomatoes were incubated for 24 h at	57°C and anal	lysed infinediate	ery, except for 01 for	which tomat	
were not incuba	ated. Data are means of three independent	experiments				

Table 4. Reduction of aldehydes and ketones into alcohols by ADH activity of *L. fermentum* V013-1A.

Table 6. Volatile compounds (ppb) found in tomato after treatment.

Chemical class	Treatment						
	UT	MT-LA	MT-Glu	MT-LP2B	MT-LP3B	MT-LP4B	MT-LF1A
Aldehydes							
Hexanal	135.85ª	92.21ª	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
(E)-2-hexenal	18.10 ^a	17.07 ^a	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
Heptanal	6.41ª	1.36 ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
(E)-2-heptenal	15.65ª	14.81ª	nd ^a	7.77 ^a	tr ^a	3.56ª	ndª
(E)-2-octenal	60.19ª	58.46ª	1.78 ^b	49.63 ^{ab}	11.92 ^{ab}	13.60 ^{ab}	nd ^b
Nonanal	16.77ª	6.7 ^b	nd ^c	tr ^c	nd ^c	ndc	nd ^c
(E)-2-nonenal	9.38ª	9.70 ^a	nd ^b	3.29 ^{ab}	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
Decanal	9.97ª	5.99 ^{ab}	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
(E,E)-2,4-decadienal	31.68 ^{ab}	18.17 ^{bc}	nd ^c	42.98ª	32.97 ^{ab}	nd ^c	nd ^c
Benzaldehyde	nd ^b	nd ^b	13.39ª	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
β-Cyclocitral	4.76ª	5.85ª	3.54ª	3.74ª	4.44 ^a	4.06ª	4.09ª
β-Citral	11.62ª	9.51ª	10.44ª	8.11ª	5.95ª	6.79ª	1.88ª
α-Citral	31.12ª	15.26 ^b	16.25 ^b	14.36 ^b	8.02 ^b	8.48 ^b	4.28 ^b
4-Methyl-3-cyclohexene-1-	tr ^a	1.60ª	2.97ª	tr ^a	ndª	ndª	ndª
carboxaldehyde							
3-Methyl-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)-	6.48 ^a	nd ^b	nd ^b				
oxiranecarboxaldehyde							
Total	358.80	256.69	48.37	131.48	64.10	36.49	10.25
Alcohols							
2,3-Butanediol	nd ^b	nd ^b	2.46 ^b	12.27ª	tr ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
Hexanol	nd ^c	6.25 ^c	32.10 ^{bc}	27.93 ^{bc}	51.31 ^{ab}	50.45 ^{ab}	74.05 ^ª
1-Heptanol	nd ^b	3.86ª	nd ^b				
2-Heptanol	nd ^b	5.29 ^a					
(E)-2-heptenol	nd ^b	nd ^b	7.98 ^a	7.37ª	nd ^b	nd ^b	6.06ª
1-Octanol	nd ^c	nd ^c	nd ^c	4.43 ^b	nd ^c	15.07ª	nd ^c
1-Octen-3-ol	nd ^b	1.50ª					
(E)-2-octenol	nd ^d	nd ^d	22.20ª	15.42 ^b	6.60 ^c	5.75°	20.47ª
1-Nonanol	nd ^b	nd ^b	tr ^b	3.37ª	3.41ª	tr ^b	2.10ª
2-Nonanol	nd ^b	2.70 ^a					
(Z)-3-nonenol	nd ^b	7.64 ^a					
(E)-2-nonenol	nd ^b	nd ^b	1.34 ^b	6.39 ^a	nd ^b	nd ^b	5.65ª
(E,E)-2,4-decadienol	nd ^b	nd ^b	2.74 ^{ab}	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	4.96ª
6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-ol	nd ^d	nd ^d	9.84 ^d	33.70 ^b	35.38 ^b	21.74 ^c	163.19ª
6,10-Dimethyl-5,9-undecadien-2-ol	nd ^c	ndc	nd ^c	10.49 ^b	10.33 ^b	12.74 ^b	25.75ª
2-Methoxy phenol	5.86ª	5.97ª	5.21ª	3.55ª	10.39ª	5.41ª	5.93ª
2-Phenylethanol	nd ^d	1.74 ^d	21.17ª	9.70 ^{bc}	16.32 ^{ab}	12.28 ^{bc}	5.20 ^{cd}
4-Ethylphenol	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	15.50ª	nd ^b	nd ^b
Total	5.86	13.96	105.44	134.62	150.05	128.09	330.47
Ketones	-	1.	. h	. h	. h	. 14	. h
1-Octen-3-one	3.24ª	0.84 [°]	nd⁰	ndº	nd ^o	nd ^o	nd ^o

6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	139.57ª	184.69ª	219.43ª	246.69ª	269.49 ^a	207.21ª	4.31 ^b
6,10-Dimethyl-5,9-undecadien-2-	78.88 ^a	84.91 ^ª	81.83 ^a	78.46 ^a	62.47 ^{ab}	51.51 ^{ab}	4.23 ^b
one							
2-Undecanone	ndc	nd ^c	nd ^c	nd ^c	nd ^c	28.83ª	8.85 ^b
α-lonone	nd ^b	2.10 ^a	tr ^{ab}	tr ^{ab}	tr ^{ab}	tr ^{ab}	nd ^b
1-(2-Methyl-cyclopenten-1-yl)-	tr ^b	3.20 ^{ab}	tr ^b	5.54ª	4.74 ^a	tr ^b	3.25 ^{ab}
ethanone							
2,3-Dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	12.23ª	nd ^b	nd ^b	tr ^b
methyl-4H-pyran-4-one							
5-Ethyl-2,4-dimethyl-4-hepten-3-	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	6.05ª	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
one							
Total	222.49	275.75	302.86	349.78	337.49	289.15	21.43
Terpenes							
(Z)-geraniol	nd ^b	nd ^b	1.73 ^b	9.86ª	6.06ª	6.94ª	7.73ª
6,7-Dihydrogeraniol	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	tr ^b	3.12ª	4.21 ^a
Melonol	nd ^d	nd ^d	nd ^d	5.16ª	tr ^c	2.69 ^b	tr ^c
Linalool	nd ^b	1.52 ^{ab}	tr ^{ab}	5.35ª	3.84 ^{ab}	tr ^{ab}	2.58 ^{ab}
D-limonene	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	9.38ª	8.15ª	5.43ª
(Z)-2,6-dimethyl-2,6-octadiene	8.82ª	7.90 ^a	5.77ª	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
(3Z,5Z)-2,7-dimethyl-3,5-octadiene	6.98ª	1.75 ^b	4.23 ^b	nd ^c	nd ^c	nd ^c	nd ^c
Total	15.80	11.17	12.52	20.37	20.88	21.69	20.75
Organic acid and their derivatives							
Acetic acid	ndc	ndc	26.69 ^c	93.56 ^b	tr ^c	7.36 ^c	148.58ª
Hexyl ester acetic acid	ndc	ndc	nd ^c	tr ^c	5.45ª	nd ^c	3.08 ^b
Octanoic acid	ndc	nd ^c	nd ^c	nd ^c	nd ^c	5.74ª	4.27 ^b
Methyl ester octanoic acid	5.94ª	3.25 ^b	tr ^b	3.13 ^b	tr ^b	tr ^b	2.36 ^b
Total	5.94	3.25	27.49	97.49	7.05	13.90	158.28
Miscellaneous							
Methoxy-phenyl-oxime	1.89ª	2.82 ^a	2.18ª	ndª	tr ^a	3.90ª	6.56ª
2,7-Dimethyl-oxepine	ndª	7.29ª	ndª	ndª	8.73ª	8.65ª	8.26ª
2-Isobutylthiazole	14.89ª	tr ^c	nd ^c	18.26ª	12.37 ^{ab}	13.66 ^{ab}	4.52 ^{bc}
3-(4-Methyl-3-pentenyl)-furan	7.79 ^{ab}	7.30 ^b	8.32 ^{ab}	14.01 ^{ab}	14.46 ^{ab}	13.93 ^{ab}	16.88ª
1-Isocyano-3-methyl benzene	nd ^d	nd ^d	nd ^d	6.17ª	tr ^c	3.39 ^b	3.66 ^b
Methyl salicylate	11.66ª	9.91ª	ndª	5.74ª	7.22ª	nd ^a	ndª
Total	36.23	28.12	10.50	44.18	44.54	43.54	158.28

587 Samples were tomatoes fermented with *L. plantarum* C022-2B (sample LP2B), C022-3B (LP3B), and V0023-4B2 (LP4B) and

588 L. fermentum V013-1A (LF1A) using PDMS/DVB coating with headspace-solid phase microextraction/gas chromatography-

589 mass spectrometry (HS-SPME/GC-MS).

590 "a, b, c, d" Values in the same line followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

tr, less than 0.80 ppb; nd, not detected.



592 List of figures

593 Fig. 1. β-Glucosidase activity of LAB, 15 strains and *L. plantarum* B33 grown 22 h at 25 °C. Mean values are from three



594 biological repeats. UA, nmol of *p*-nitrophenol per minute per milligram of cell dry weight at 37 °C. Different letters show 595 significant differences (p < 0.05) among the different strains.

- 596 Fig. 2. RAPD-PCR profiles of LAB isolates from fermented foods using primers P2, P4, OPL5, and M13. Lanes: 1, C022-2B;
- **2**, C022-3B; **3**, V0023-4B2; **4**, F2; **M**, Molecular weight markers (SmartLadder; Eurogentec).



598 Fig. 3. PCR multiplex analysis of the *Lactiplantibacillus* group. Lane: 1, V0023-4B2; 2, V053-4B; 3, F1; 4, F2; 5, Molecular

599	weight markers	(SmartLadder;	Eurogentec); 6,	H2O; 7,	V013-1A; 8,	C022-2B; 9,	C022-3A; 10,	C022-3B; 11,	C022-4A; 12 ,
-----	----------------	---------------	-----------------	---------	-------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	----------------------



600 C022-4B; **13**, V073-3A1; **14**, V073-4A; **15**, V073-4B. DNA molecular weight markers (bp) are shown on the left.

601 Fig. 4. Score plot of the first and second principal component (PC) after PC analysis based on volatile compounds

- 602 concentration found in tomato after treatments (Table 6). Abbreviations: LP2B, tomatoes fermented with *L. plantarum* C022-
- 603 2B; LP3B, with L. plantarum C022-3B; LP4B, with L. plantarum V0023-4B2; LF1A, with L. fermentum V013-1A; UT,
- 604 untreated tomatoes; LA, lactic-acid-treated tomatoes; Glu, glucosidase-treated tomatoes.