

Salinity Spatial Patterns in Mediterranean Coastal Landscape: The Legacy of the Historical Water Management and Land Planning

Laurène Marien, Armand Crabit, Benoît Dewandel, Bernard Ladouche, Perrine Fleury, Stéphane Follain, Julien Cavero, Victor Berteloot, François Colin

▶ To cite this version:

Laurène Marien, Armand Crabit, Benoît Dewandel, Bernard Ladouche, Perrine Fleury, et al.. Salinity Spatial Patterns in Mediterranean Coastal Landscape: The Legacy of the Historical Water Management and Land Planning. 2023. hal-04142626

HAL Id: hal-04142626 https://institut-agro-dijon.hal.science/hal-04142626

Preprint submitted on 27 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1 Salinity spatial patterns in Mediterranean coastal landscape: the legacy of the historical water 2 management and land planning 3 Marien L.¹, Crabit A.¹, Dewandel B.^{1,2}, Ladouche B.², Fleury P.², Follain S.³, Cavero J.⁴, Berteloot V.¹ and 4 5 Colin F.1 6 ¹ G-EAU, University of Montpellier, INRAE, Institut Agro, CIRAD, IRD, AgroParisTech, Montpellier, 7 France 8 ² BRGM, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France 9 ³ Agroécologie, Institut Agro Dijon, INRAe, Univ. Bourgogne, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 10 21000 Dijon, France 11 ⁴ CNRS, FR 3747, Maison de l'Orient et de la Méditerranée, Lyon, France 12 13 Corresponding author: francois.colin@supagro.fr 14 15 **ABSTRACT** 16 Mediterranean coastal areas have been occupied and developed intensively for a long time facing issues 17 related to agricultural production, urbanization, tourism, preservation of natural resources often linked to 18 salinity. This article explores the relationship between historical land planning and water management, 19 and current soil and water salinity to gain insights into future projections.

Soil samples (1185) were collected in a coastal plain of 114 km² in the south of France and saturated paste extract Electrical Conductivity (ECso) was deduced from 1:5 dilution. Soil salinity exhibits a wide range of variation (from 0.54 to 113.1 mS cm⁻¹) and spatial patterns. EC_{so} is significantly different among soil types, higher at depth than at the surface (Kruskal Wallis and Wilcoxon tests) and influenced by the distance to ancient anthropogenic structures (Pettitt test). Surface water and shallow groundwater samples were collected for trace element concentrations and Oxygen (18O/16O) isotope ratio measurements. The geochemical signatures indicate a mixture between surface freshwater and seawater, with the presence of over-salted seawater and a stratification of salinity from the surface to the depth. Results suggest that groundwater is the source of soil salinity, and illustrate the long-term impact of water management and land planning. Less saline soils are found near the freshwater supply channel (constructed from 15th to 18th), while more saline soils are located near drainage channels. The presence of over-salted water reflects temporal evolution of the plain over the last few centuries (initially under seawater, gradually filled in, presence of ponds and salt works that have now disappeared). The current soil salinity patches continue to be a visible reminder of this evolution. The trend towards desalinization of the plain over the last few centuries has been made possible by massive freshwater inflows, which are now under threat due to the general decrease of water resources availability.

36

37

38

39

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

KEYWORDS

Freshwater availability; soil fertility; anthropogenic structures; geochemical signature; ancient ponds; salt works

1. Introduction

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Soil salinity is one of the main causes of soil degradation limiting its productivity (Epstein, 1980). 10% of arable land is affected by salinity and sodicity in more than 100 countries and on all continents (Szabolcs, 1989). According to FAO in 2000, around 830 Mha of land worldwide contains salt-affected soil (SAS) (Martinez-Beltran & Manzur, 2005). Soil salinity refers to the accumulation of soil soluble-salts, from natural or anthropogenic origins, mainly in the form of chlorides, sulfates and carbonates of sodium, calcium and magnesium (Shrestha, 2006). Soluble salts could be of environmental origin or result from inefficient or inappropriate human activities (Daliakopoulos et al., 2016; Shrestha, 2006; Szabolcs, 1992). Primary salinization is the development of salts by natural processes, mainly including physical or chemical weathering and transport from parent material, ecological deposits or groundwater (Daliakopoulos et al., 2016). Human interventions cause secondary salinization, primarily due to irrigation with brackish water or other inappropriate irrigation practices, often combined with poor drainage conditions (Stavi et al., 2021). Whatever the origin, salinity threatens the sustainability of agriculture by affecting crop production through decreased yields and plant death (Feinerman & Yaron, 1982; Li et al., 2012; Maas & Hoffman, 1977), according to processes summarized by Rengasamy (2010). Salt-affected soils occur in more than 100 countries worldwide, with the exception of Antarctica, and are generally found in arid and semi-arid climatic zones (Rengasamy, 2006; Szabolcs, 1985). In Europe, soil salinity affects approximately 3.8 million hectares of land (Jones et al., 2012; Tóth et al., 2008), with coastal regions in southern Europe particularly facing especially severe agricultural challenges due to salinization (Daliakopoulos et al., 2016).

Coastal areas are particularly vulnerable because they are located at the interface between land and sea. As indicated in recent review articles, these areas concentrate many issues related to water (Tramblay et al., 2020) and soil resources (Ferreira et al., 2022), agricultural production (Jacobsen et al., 2012), remarkable natural spaces (Erostate et al., 2020; Martínez-Megías & Rico, 2022), urbanization and tourism (Mejjad et al., 2022). The preservation and development of these areas involves a balancing act between the needs and availability of resources and land for the various uses. This balancing act must be carried out under conditions of climate change and land use (García-Ruiz et al., 2011; Linares et al., 2020) and may be complicated by salinity conditions. A conceptual model of the processes involved in water and soil salinization in Mediterranean coastal areas has been proposed by Bless et al. (2018). In the case of salinity issues related to proximity to the sea, this model highlights the need to understand the salt and water fluxes and mixtures between the soil, surface water and groundwater compartments. The model also indicates the importance of incorporating the consequences of hydro-agricultural management in these interactions (de Louw et al., 2011, 2013). Such models question the long-term dynamics when landscape conditions that influence salinization processes change. Mediterranean coastal landscapes are particularly at the center of this questioning because they have been occupied and managed for a long time. Since ancient times, land use patterns and hydroagricultural management have changed significantly and interfered with water and soil (Gibling, 2018; Pieruccini et al., 2021; Wilkinson, 2005) and thus with the processes that cause salinity. While the current concern is to adapt Mediterranean coastal areas to climate change, it is crucial to analyze what the impacts of past land and water management may be on current salinity conditions.

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

This article aims to analyze the spatial patterns of soil salinity and surface and groundwater quality in relation to historical water management and land planning in a Mediterranean coastal landscape. The chosen study site shows a long history of land use and allows to discuss the consequences of long-term landscape management on water and soil salinity and the implications for the future in a climate change context.

2. Study site

The selected study area located in the department of Aude, in the south of France, includes the city of Narbonne and covers an area of 114 km². It is the part of a low plains corresponding to the deltaic zone of the former southern branch of the Aude River. It is bounded to the North by the Aude River, to the South by the Mediterranean sea, ponds and lagoons, in the western part by the Corbières hills (maximum elevation 248 m a.s.l.) and to the east by the Clape massif (maximum elevation 214 m a.s.l.) (Fig.1A).

[FIGURE 1: Study site maps (A) sampled points, (B) soil types, (C) land cover and (D) hydro-agricultural network]

2.1. Geological and soils characteristics

The main stages of the geological history of the Narbonne coastline are part of the formation of the Gulf of Lion during the Tertiary era (Ambert, 1994; Guennoc et al., 2000). For nearly 10,000 years, the Narbonne coast has undergone a very important geomorphological evolution, changing from a ria to a lagoon under the combined influence of a rise in sea level and the process of progradation resulting from the

101 sedimentary contributions of the Aude (André & Ambert, 1998; Guilaine, 1995). The structure of the 102 Narbonnaise plain results from the filling of two rias during the Holocene era, identified below as the 103 South and East branches (Salel, 2018). The bedrock of the basin is composed of blue marls from the 104 Miocene (Helvetian, -11-16 Ma). These formations were eroded during the last glacial period and now 105 form the base of this sedimentary basin. After the ice age, the sea level rose and rias were filled in. 106 The course of the Aude in its deltaic zone was N-S 10,000 years ago following the ria of the southern 107 branch. This area was then protected behind the Clape massif and an arm of the sea penetrated quite far 108 inland. It was the same for the Eastern branch, with an ingress of the sea that rushed to the North of the 109 Clape massif. Over the past two millennia, increased human activity has led to an intensification of 110 agriculture and grazing. The important deforestation which resulted generated a strong erosion on the 111 Aude basin and contributed to a massive sedimentary contribution. This contribution finished filling the 112 South Branch in less than 1000 years, with several meters of alluvial sediments of fluvio-palustral origins 113 which were deposited in the plain, deviating the direction of the river in the early 14th century to give it 114 an E-W orientation comparable to the current one (Larguier, 2018). The lower Aude plain is then formed 115 of ancient quaternary alluvium near the Clape massif and more recent one in the plain. Today, the Aude 116 flows North of the Clape massif before reaching the sea. 117 Consequently, the study area is today essentially composed of a topographically flat sedimentary basin. 118 The northern part of the area is composed of recent alluvial sediments dating from the Quaternary period 119 and originating from sediment transport by the Aude River. At the edge of the study area, the relief is 120 characterized by higher elevations, related to older alluvial and colluvial sediments. In the southern part,

near the present Bages-Sigean pond, the alluvial deposits are substituted by fluvial and lacustrine deposits.

By combining the BRGM geological map at a 1:50,000 scale (Lespinasse et al., 1982), the INRA soil landscape map of the Aude at a 1:250,000 scale (Barthès et al., 1999), and data from 393 auger bores at depths of 0-20 cm, 30-40 cm, 70-90 cm, and 90-120 cm, with recorded properties such as Munsell color, texture (using the FAO method), hydromorphy, HCl test, EC_{1:5}, pH, Na⁺, and Ca²⁺, a detailed soil map was elaborated (Fig.1B). The main characteristics of the five dominant soil types (WRB, 2015) represented in the study area are (see also Table 1):

- Soil 1: Calcaric Cambisol developed in the current alluvial deposits and located at relief footslope
 positions with elevation ranging from 10 to 20 m a.s.l. and classified as Cambisol. This soil unit
 represents 20% of the study area;
- Soil 2: Colluvic Cambisol mainly located in the northeastern part of the study area, with elevations ranging from 20 to 50 m a.s.l., characterized by a shallow soil depth (<80 cm). This soil unit represents 4% of the study area;
- Soil 3: Fluvisol located in the northern part of the study area, in recent alluvial deposits from the Aude river at a medium elevation (5-10 m a.s.l.). They are characterized by medium to high soil depth (>1.2 m) and exhibit temporary waterlogging within the first meter soil depth. This soil unit represents 21% of the study area;

- Soil 4: Gleyic Fluvisol located in the central and low elevation part of the study area (<5m a.s.l.) and characterized by permanent waterlogging whatever the soil depth. It is the dominant soil unit, representing 40% of the study area;
- Soil 5: Solonchaks located at the edges of the present pond (Bages-Sigean) formed on lacustrine deposits, rarely cultivated. They are characterized by a high electrical conductivity of saturated paste (>4 mS cm⁻¹) and an ESP (Exchangeable Sodium Percentage) may exceed 15% and permanent waterlogging at low depth. They represent 14% of the study area.

[TABLE 1 - Main characteristics of the five soil types.]

2.2. Agriculture, natural areas and water management

The land use established in 2018 is composed of 24% of vineyards, 14% of wasteland, 4% of grasslands, 18% of field crops, 18% of natural areas and 22% of urbanized areas (Fig.1C). The urban areas have been growing rapidly over the last 50 years. The natural areas, which are mostly protected, include Mediterranean scrubland in the higher elevations, wetlands and salt marshes in the lower elevations. Vines are the main land use and have been present in the area for a long time. Introduced by Greek settlers around 600 BC in Marseille, viticulture was initially limited to southern Gaul during the Iron Age (Boissinot, 2001; Collin Bouffier, 2009). Viticulture then spread to Languedoc and particularly to the province of Gallia Narbonensis after the Roman conquest around 118 BC (Bernigaud et al., 2021). During the first millennium AD, Narbonne was the capital of the Roman province Gallia Narbonensis, one of the largest port cities in

the northwest Mediterranean (Faïsse et al., 2018). As related by Martínez Ferreras et al. (2013), the city of Narbonne, then capital of the province of Narbonensis, played a very important role in the commercial redistribution of Tarraconensis wine (one of the three roman provinces in Hispania) to other regions of the Empire. Later, from the 9th century to the 16th century, if wine production was still important (Larguier, 1996), the salty land in this area was also exploited in the form of salt ponds for the production of salt. Salt then became one of the most characteristic products of the medieval Mediterranean economy (Dupont, 1958). The use of ancient data in geography allowed to study the paleogeography of Narbonne's ponds. Based on the georeferencing of ancient maps published since the early 18th century, and extensive work in archaeology, Cavero (2010) suggests a representation of the historical contours of the ancient salt ponds located in the study area.

The production of salt coincided with the onset of significant drainage operations in the plain, leading to the construction of large canals that are still visible on the map D in Figure 1. This land drainage progress was instrumental in establishing and diversifying agriculture. The Robine canal, built over several centuries from the 15th to the 18th century, serves as a navigable waterway and a source of freshwater for the lowlands. It runs along the former course of the southern branch of the Aude river and flows into the Bages-Sigean pond (Ambert, 1993). This canal supplies a network of channels and ditches, as depicted in map D of Figure 1. The water is managed collectively by Authorized Syndical Associations (Dallmayr, 1961) and allows for artificial flooding (Alaghmand et al., 2016). This practice is water-intensive as it requires about 300 mm one to three times a year. During the winter, water is supplied for several days on the plots and then drained via artificial subsurface and open drainage networks to downstream ponds and lagoons, which serve as the general outlet of the network. The primary objective of these winter inputs is the soil

desalinization through leaching. Water can be reapplied in the spring to fight against freezing of the vineyards and replenish the soil's water content before the vineyards' budburst. A third application can be made at the beginning of summer to prevent water stress in crops. Some of the natural areas on the plain have taken place over formerly cultivated areas, particularly around the ponds and lagoons. The hydroagricultural networks' maintenance ensures that water is added to these natural areas to promote variously saline grasslands and reed beds, serving as a refuge for numerous animal species.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Soil measurements

Soil sampling was done randomly, taking into account the accessibility of the plots and ensuring that all soil types were well represented. Soil samples (N = 1185) were collected at different depths (0-120 cm) using an auger during two different periods: dry season (end of September) and wet season (April-May) from 2018 to 2022 (Fig.1A). Each auger survey was conducted in accordance with FAO rules for soil description (Jahn et al., 2006). All soil samples were air-dried and measured for EC_{1/5} according to the U.S. Salinity Laboratory protocol (Rhoades et al., 1999).

Soil salinity was estimated by measuring the electrical conductivity (EC), which is determined by the electrical conductivity of the saturated paste extract (EC_{sp}) and total dissolved salts, as calculated by Richards' equation (Richards et al., 1954). To analyze a large number of samples, EC measurements were conducted on soil solution extracts diluted in demineralized water at a 1:5 ratio (EC_{1.5}). The soil samples were treated using the United States Salinity Laboratory protocol (Rhoades et al., 1999), and EC_{1.5} was measured using a conductivity meter (WTW model 2CA301 Cond 3310).

Soil salinity is often estimated using the electrical conductivity of soil saturated paste extract (EC_{sp}). However, this method can be time-consuming and laborious. As a result, alternative methods that involve different soil/water ratios, such as 1:5 ($EC_{1:5}$), are often used to estimate the EC_{sp} (Kargas et al., 2022). These alternative methods are generally faster and easier to implement. As highlighted by Sonmez et al. (2008), the findings revealed a strong correlation between the values of electrical conductivity measured in saturated paste extracts and those measured in extracts of varying soil to water ratios. A specified relationship between EC_{sp} and $EC_{1:5}$ was then established for the study area, considering EC_{sp} and $EC_{1:5}$ on 32 subsamples (16 points at two different depths), both measured at the CIRAD laboratory in Montpellier. The specific relationship (R2 = 0.98) found was:

$$EC_{sp} = 11.35 EC_{1:5}$$
 Eq. 1

Laboratory analysis was done on 32 subsamples in order to quantify the main characteristics of the five soil types and the results are given in Table 1.

Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) method was employed for interpolating Electrical Conductivity with the power value p = 4, at a resolution of 20 meters. Two visual representations were generated, one for the spatial distribution of surface salinity data (0-40cm) and the other for depth salinity (90-120cm).

The study involved three levels of statistical analysis: i) the variability of the salinity in the soil profile was examined by comparing EC_{sp} at the surface and EC_{sp} at depth using non-parametric one-way ANOVA test (Kruskal Wallis); ii) the spatial distribution of salinity across the study area was analyzed in relation to the various soil types encountered, by comparing EC_{sp} with soil types using both one-way and pairwise comparison tests (Kruskal Wallis and Wilcoxon); iii) the impact of anthropogenic structures on salinity was

investigated by comparing EC_{sp} with the distance to the Robine canal and EC_{sp} with the distance to drainage channels.

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

An important issue was to delineate the zone of influence of anthropogenic structures e.g. Robine canal, drainage channels, and to quantify their respective impacts on soil salinity in order to answer the question of whether conductivity increases or decreases with distance from this structure. For this purpose, a statistical analysis based on spatial soil electrical conductivity dataset and a statistical method for analyzing points of change in a continuous series of points, was performed. As defined by Chandler & Scott (2011), a change point can be defined as a point in series when any parameter of the data distribution (mean, median, variance) undergoes an abrupt change. Pettitt test is a non-parametric test derived from the Mann-Whitney test to find a single change point in the mean of a series and returns a pvalue for the change point (Pettitt, 1979; Pohlert, 2023). The non-parametric Pettitt test is robust to outliers and skewed distributions (Sagarika et al., 2014), usually used for evaluating the occurrence of abrupt changes in climatic records (Gao et al., 2011; Verstraeten et al., 2006; Zhang & Lu, 2009) and hydroclimatological studies (Conte et al., 2019). It applies to non-stationary data sets with at least 3 data and a non-normal distribution. To detect a change point in our dataset, we then applied Pettitt's test. In this analysis, the variable under consideration is the electrical conductivity of the saturated paste extract, while time was substituted by the minimum euclidean distance of the point from the anthropogenic structure being analyzed.

The statistical analysis focuses on the soils with the highest salinity (Fluvisol, Gleyic Fluvisol and Solonchak) and does not include the least saline soils 1 and 2. The minimum distance between the measurement

points and the anthropogenic structures, including the Robine canal and drainage channels, were calculated using QGIS and statistical tests were realized using R Software.

3.2. Water measurements

Water samples were collected in early summer for the years 2021 and 2022 in 14 locations for groundwater and 2 locations for surface water (Robine canal Sw1, and Aude river Sw2). Among the 14 groundwater sampling locations, 3 locations are boreholes (Gwb1, Gwb2, Gwb3), 2 are wells (Gww1, Gww2), 2 are springs (Gws1, Gws2), 5 are shallow piezometers (between 2 and 4 m depth, Gwp1 to Gwp5) and 2 are locations where piezometers have been installed at 3 depths (2, 4 and 8 m depth, Gwp6 to Gwp8 and Gwp9 to Gwp11).

Water samples for major and trace element analysis were filtered on-site with a 0.45 μ m PVDF filter. Chemical analyses were performed in the HydroSciences Montpellier laboratory at the University of Montpellier. Major ions were analyzed by ion chromatography (ICS 1000 Dionex®, precision error is < \pm 5%). Oxygen ($^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$) isotope ratio measurements were performed at BRGM Laboratories by gas equilibration method ($^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$) using a Finnigan MAT 252 mass spectrometer. Results are expressed in parts per thousand (i.e. ‰) in the familiar δ notation relative to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (SMOW) standard, where δ = ([Rsample/Rstandard -1] x 1000), the uncertainty of measurement for oxygen 18 is $\pm 0.1\%$.

The examination of the relationships between the ions and trace elements and the chloride contents by the binary diagrams made it possible to characterize the geochemical context of the study area. Geochemical signature of groundwater has been compared to values measured in Mediterranean sea

(Petelet-Giraud et al., 2016). Geochemical signatures were used to compare the different types of water (e.g., freshwater of different origins and Mediterranean seawater (Petelet-Giraud et al., 2016)) and their mixing conditions. A binary diagram showing the δ^{18} O values and chloride concentrations was built to position the water samples and curves that represent different mixing conditions between river freshwater and seawater or seawater that has undergone an evaporation process with concentration factors (F) between 4 and 10.

4. Results

4.1. Soil salinity pattern

Maps of soil salinity with depth produced with the IDW method are shown in Figure 2. Overall salinity is higher in the South of the study area. However, the patterns do not show a systematic increase in values with proximity to the present ponds connected to the sea. Salinity patches appear in the north of the area near the town of Vinassan, several kilometers from the present sea coastline. Striped patterns of salinity are also visible. Lower values appear near the Robine canal and higher values near the drainage channels in their downstream part. Salinity values increase with soil depth and adopt similar spatial patterns whatever the considered soil depth.

[FIGURE 2: Soil salinity interpolation using the Inverse Distance Weight method (IDW)

The Kruskal Wallis test highlights that soil salinity of the 0-40 cm top layer is significantly different than soils salinity of the 90-120 cm deeper layer (p-value = 0.0016), with EC_{sp} mean values equal to 6.76 and 10.2 mS cm⁻¹ respectively. When analyzing the difference in salinity between surface and depth by soil type, this trend is also found for Gleyic Fluvisols (p-value = 0.0064).

[**TABLE 2**: Descriptive statistics of EC_{sp} per soil type]

According to Table 2, the descriptive statistics for soil salinity indicate that the results vary depending on the soil type. Solonchaks are, as expected, much more saline than the other types of soil (mean $EC_{sp} = 27.7 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$). These soils closest to the coastline are constituted with fine-textured alluvial deposits in lacustrine or fluvio-lacustrine paleo-environmental conditions. The Gleyic Fluvisol and Solonchack exhibit the highest range of salinity values among the studied soils, with the minimum and maximum values ranging from 0.54 to 98.72 mS cm⁻¹ and 2.36 to 113.43 mS cm⁻¹, respectively. Moreover, the standard deviation of these soils is also considerably high, at 10.79 mS cm⁻¹ and 19.96 mS cm⁻¹, respectively. The statistical analysis using the Wilcoxon test indicates a significant difference (p-value < 0.05) between these two soil types and the other three, highlighting their distinctiveness. The Colluvic Cambisols have the lowest salinity, with a mean electrical conductivity (Ec_{sp}) of 2.34 mS cm⁻¹. These soils are developed on sloping faces of the Clape massif.

[**TABLE 3**: Pettitt test results EC_{sp} per soil type]

Table 3 shows that the Robine canal has a substantial decreasing effect on the salinity levels observed across the three soil types: Fluvisol, Gleyic Fluvisol, and Solonchak. The salinity levels decrease with spatial proximity to the Robine canal. The impact of the Robine canal on soil salinity across the entire soil profile is substantial and statistically significant (p-value < 0.05), particularly in the most saline soil types (Solonchak and Gleyic Fluvisol). Salinity levels in Solonchak range from 14.3 to 34.88 mS cm⁻¹, while those in Gleyic Fluvisol range from 5.09 to 12.33 mS cm⁻¹. The results also suggest that this effect is comparatively weaker in the least saline soil (Fluvisol), where the electrical conductivity values range from 2.38 mS cm⁻¹ to 3.43 mS cm⁻¹ throughout the soil profile. When considering soil salinity of the entire soil profile, the distance of influence of the Robine canal is then estimated to 437 m and 560 m for the Gleyic Fluvisol and Solonchak respectively. When looking at the results by separating the two depths, Pettitt test shows that salinity decreases with proximity to the Robine Canal for Gleyic Fluvisol with a change point between 208 and 285 m for the surface samples and between 431 and 544 m for the deep samples. According to Table 3, there is a significant increasing effect near drainage channels, which is particularly evident when analyzing the salinity levels across the entire soil profile for Gleyic Fluvisol. The mean salinity level decreased by almost half between the points before and after the change point (10.84 to 5.80 mS cm⁻¹), indicating a considerable impact on soil salinity. The effect appears to be limited to the deepest layers of the soil, as evidenced by the significant results of the Pettitt test (p-value = 4.89e⁻³) for the deep samples (90-120 cm), while the surface samples (0-40 cm) showed no significant effect (p-value = 4.09e⁻¹). Results from the Pettitt test show that the estimated distance of influence for Gleyic Fluvisol on the overall soil salinity profile due to the drainage channels is approximately 450 m. The lack of data for Solonchaks

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

has made it impossible to estimate the impact of drainage channel distance on its soil salinity. Regardless, since this type of soil is already highly saline, the concentration effect would have been less pronounced.

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

322

323

4.2. Water quality

The concentrations of chlorides and bromides locally present higher values than those of seawater (Fig. 3A) which indicates that the waters of marine origin have undergone phenomena of evaporation. The evaporation of seawater initially leads to enriching the water with dissolved ions and the δ^{18} O signature of residual seawater until the concentration factor (F) reaches approximately 4, which globally corresponds to the beginning of the precipitation of anhydrite (gypsum) and celestite (Fontes & Matray, 1993). According to Holser et al. (1979), the precipitation of anhydrite (gypsum) is also accompanied by a slight depletion of the isotopic signature (δ^{18} O) of the evaporated residual seawater. The Cl/Br ratio in the residual seawater remains stable during the precipitation of calcite and anhydrite (gypsum). It starts to decrease when halite precipitates when F reaches 10. Surface water (Robine canal, Aude river) represents the first freshwater end-member that recharges the alluvial aquifer. Groundwater of the Clape massif karstic system represents the second freshwater end member that can recharge the aquifer formation of the alluvial plain. Several end members for evaporated seawater trapped in alluvial plain have been defined depending on whether the seawater has undergone evaporation or not (Fig. 3B). The bromide and chloride concentrations plotted in Figure 3A show a linear alignment of points on a mixing line between freshwater and seawater. It is possible to distinguish between freshwater (chloride concentration < 1 g L⁻¹), brackish water (chloride concentration between 1 and 10 g L⁻¹), saltwater (chloride

concentration between 10 and 35 g L⁻¹), and over-saltwater (chloride concentration > 35 g L⁻¹). Freshwaters

include surface water samples (Sw1, Sw2), water samples from boreholes in the alluvial aquifer of the Aude river (Gwb1, Gwb2, Gwb3), water samples from springs in connection with the limestone karstic Clape massif (Gws1, Gws2), water samples from shallow wells (Gww1, Gww2) and from shallow piezometers (Gwp6, Gwp9 placed at 2 m depth). For the shallow wells and piezometers Gwp6 and Gwp9, the geochemical signature is strongly influenced by nearby artificial flooding practices. Brackish water includes samples from the deeper piezometers (4 m and 8 m depth) installed at two locations (Gwp7, Gwp8 and Gwp10, Gwp11). For these two corresponding locations, a stratification of salinity is observed that increases with the depth of the piezometers and a geochemical signature that indicates a mixture evolving from freshwater to saltwater. The salty and over salty waters include samples Gwp1 to Gwp5 and indicate the presence of seawater in the groundwater that must have undergone evaporation (Fig.3A and 3B).

The results indicate the presence of over-salted water in the subsurface layers for all piezometers with brackish and salty water in addition to piezometers Gwp1 and Gwp5. In this case, the concentration factor of the evaporated seawater is between 5.8 and 10. For these samples, the percentage of mixing is between 0.5% for Gwp10 (which is now at the boundary between fresh and brackish water) and 30% for Gwp1 (which is now still in an over-salted condition). These results therefore indicate that the saltier groundwater contains a percentage of formerly over-salted seawater that has been diluted over time.

[FIGURE 3: Binary diagrams bromide vs. chloride (A) and δ^{18} O vs. chloride (B)]

5. Discussion

5.1. Long term landscape management impacts on soil and water salinity

Soil and water salinity is highly variable over the study area and increases with depth (see Figure 2). This observation confirms that the general origin of salinity is from the subsurface compartment and is not due to surface salt accumulation related to irrigation practices for example.

Salinity is first spatially structured according to soil formation conditions. The soils formed from the finest material deposits, in brackish or salty lacustrine/lagoon conditions are currently the most saline soils. They are found today near the edges of the present lagoons and are rarely cultivated. Soils formed from the deposition of silty fluvial materials are very variably saline. Gleyic Fluvisol and Fluvisol are very similar soils and are distinguished by the presence of temporary or permanent hydromorphy in the first meter. The Fluvisols, which are higher in elevation and closer to the current river course, are only slightly or not at all saline. The greatest variations in salinity are found on the Gleyic Fluvisols.

The results show that the current salinity is strongly structured in space by long-term water management. The Robine canal reached the southern end of the selected study area at the end of the 17th century from the first constructions dating from the 15th century. This canal, which takes water from the main river (Aude river), was initially intended to serve as a waterway for the transport of goods. Over time, it has been used to transport water for agriculture and desalinization practices by artificial flooding of the plots. Salinity is significantly lower near this canal for all soil types that can have high salinity levels. This can be interpreted as the proximity of the canal making water use easier and therefore desalinization of soils more efficient. It is also possible at the same time that leakage through the canal bed feeds fresh water into the subsurface compartment. It is therefore possible that this deep desalinization of the subsurface compartment reduces the saline pressure on the soils due to capillary rise during dry periods. These

results support the need to integrate surface and groundwater interactions to understand soil salinity (Bless et al., 2018). Artificial flooding practices consist of massive water inputs between one and three times per year to a large portion of the plots. These water inputs are then drained through a network of canals to downstream ponds. Near the larger drainage channels, salinity levels are higher. These results suggest a serial movement of salt masses over long times (Duan et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2018).

To further explore the relationship between landscape evolution and current salinity, we can examine the

visible patches on the interpolated soil salinity maps. Geochemical analysis of groundwater within these high salinity patches has revealed the mixture between fresh and over-salinity water, a phenomenon that is not observed outside of these patches. Figure 4 illustrates the overlap of the interpolated salinity patterns with historical maps such as the Etat Major map from 1852 and the Jean Nicolas Bellin map published in 1764 in Cavero (2010). This overlap shows that the salinity patches are situated in areas that were previously ponds in the 19th century or designated as wetlands or swamps in the 18th century.

During the phase of sea recession and the establishment of the plain, salt water may have become isolated in ponds or marshes. The salt content may have become over-concentrated naturally due to the lack of fresh water from upstream or through anthropogenic means, such as the exploitation of these areas by salt works (Descadeillas, 1959; Dupont, 1958). Over time, these over-salted waters could have infiltrated into the groundwater compartment and been diluted by rainwater percolation and artificial flooding of the plots. As a result, higher salinity patches may still exist in the subsoil, contributing to areas of higher soil salinity today.

[FIGURE 4: (A) Etat Major map, surveyed in 1852, Narbonne sheet no. 244, published by the Dépôt de la Guerre in 1860 (Cavero, 2010), (B) Map by Jean Nicolas Bellin published in 1764, taken from the Neptune François, a work intended for navigation, commissioned by Colbert and published by La Favolière in 1693 (Cavero, 2010) (C) overlap of map A and soil surface salinity map and (D) overlap of map B and soil surface salinity map.]

5.2. Implications for the future land and water management

The insights provided by this work provide perspective on an apparent paradox. The consequences of climate change through increased climatic water deficit and rising sea levels indicate a prospect of increased water and soil salinity problems in the coastal zone (Bless et al., 2018). Long-term perspective shows for this coastal zone that despite the sea level rise since the beginning of the Holocene, the influx of sediments by the river has allowed the establishment of a plain on formerly marine or lagoon areas. It also shows that over the last few centuries, the evolution is that of a global desalinization of water and soil of the plain.

This soil and water desalinization has been made possible in large part by land planning and water management. The freshwater inflow benefits all land uses with (i) agricultural areas, with high value crops such as vineyards and (ii) natural areas with rich and specific environments associated with multiple ecosystem services (*ie* salt marshes, Ermgassen et al., 2021; Himes-Cornell et al., 2018). The current land management strategy involves the use of large amounts of water for soil desalinization, maintenance of freshwater lens (de Louw et al., 2013) and mitigation of water stress accentuated by the presence of salt in the soil solution (Rengasamy, 2010). This strategy is now very strongly questioned. The water used for

artificial flooding practices comes from a withdrawal from a coastal river. The acceptability of this withdrawal depends on the river flows and other competing uses of water (drinking water, water for river aquatic ecosystems, water for coastal marine ecosystems). The European (WFD, 2000), national (LEMA, 2006), and regional (Rhône Méditerranée & Corsica Water Agency policy) regulatory frameworks aim to achieve a quantitative balance between withdrawal and an available resource that is decreasing due to climate change. In this context, the encouragement to reduce withdrawals for agricultural use is very strong. With this issue of trade-offs on the use of water resources, the studied lowland area is probably beginning a new phase of transition as it has experienced in the past.

All Mediterranean coastal areas are concerned in the same way as for the lowland area studied. Adaptation strategies concern an overall reduction in freshwater withdrawals from rivers, concentration of water inputs in priority areas and for priority land uses, management of soils and groundwater levels to limit salinization processes, adaptation of plants (new resistant varieties and new crops) and planning of the spatial organization between natural and cultivated areas. As was done for the study area, a spatialized diagnosis of water and soil salinity is required to make the appropriate strategic choices. Supporting choices for a sustainable transition implies having a metrological logic to evaluate the evolution of water and soil salinity and put it into perspective on different time scales, including long time scales.

6. Conclusion

The current spatial distribution of salinity is mainly inherited from sedimentary processes over the last two millennia and land planning and water management over the last few centuries. In the short term, the soils are subject to salinization processes from salty groundwater through variations in water table

levels and capillary rise. In the long term, desalinization is observed by massive use of water inputs. The current spatial patterns ask questions for the future. Stakeholders have therefore to decide where to continue to fight against salinization. In a situation of decreasing available water resources, three directions are emerging: fight against salinization on the whole area with less water, choose to fight on the most impacted areas or, on the contrary, to concentrate desalinization efforts on the areas that are currently the least salty in order to create non-salted patches surrounded by other areas where salinization is accepted. In a way, salinity raises the question of the trade-off between water and soil resources in the medium to long term: is it preferable to use large amounts of water to maintain soil fertility? Or should water use be reduced with the risk of degrading soils and losing agricultural land and the conditions required for diversified coastal ecosystems?

Mediterranean coastal areas are particularly sensitive to climate change and the impacts of water and soil salinization. Salinity has a strong impact on plant water availability and requires to be mitigated by water inputs. Climate change in the Mediterranean regions already shows a greater interannual variability of precipitation that is concentrated on more extreme events while climatic water deficits are increasing. The consequence is a decrease in the amount of water available for societies and ecosystems. Salinity is therefore a prism that allows to perceive in an accelerated way the impacts of climate change related to the decrease of available water resources. This prism can be particularly interesting to analyze the trajectories of a large number of regions in the world.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the SALIN collaborative project associating BRGM, the Institut Agro Montpellier, the Regional Nature Park (PNR Narbonnaise en Méditerranée) and the Communauté d'Agglomération du Grand Narbonne with the financial support of the Rhone-Mediterranean and Corsica Water Agency and the Occitanie Region.

l'Aude.

References

Alaghmand, S., Beecham, S., Woods, J. A., Holland, K. L., Jolly, I. D., Hassanli, A., & Nouri, H. (2016).
Quantifying the impacts of artificial flooding as a salt interception measure on a river-floodplain interaction in a semi-arid saline floodplain. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 79, 167–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.02.006
Ambert, P. (1993). Preuves géologiques de l'insularité du massif de la Clape (Aude) pendant la transgression flandrienne. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 316(Seriell), 237–244.
Ambert, P. (1994). *L'évolution géomorphologique du Languedoc Central depuis le Néogène (Grands Causses Méridionaux-Piémont Languedocien*).
André, J., & Ambert, P. (1998). L'évolution des paléo-environnements holocènes de la lagune de Narbonne (Sud de la France): apports de l'étude pluridisciplinaire du forage Peyriac. *Congrès National Des Sociétés Historiques et Scientifiques, CTHS*, 77–91.

Barthès, J. P., Bornand, M., & Falipou, P. (1999). Référentiel pédologique de la France : Pédopaysages de

486	Bernigaud, N., Bondeau, A., & Guiot, J. (2021). Understanding the development of viticulture in Roman
487	Gaul during and after the Roman climate optimum: The contribution of spatial analysis and agro-
488	ecosystem modeling. Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports, 38.
489	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2021.103099
490	Bless, A. E., Colin, F., Crabit, A., Devaux, N., Philippon, O., & Follain, S. (2018). Landscape evolution and
491	agricultural land salinization in coastal area: A conceptual model. Science of the Total Environment.
492	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.083
493	Boissinot, P. (2001). Archéologie des vignobles antiques du sud de la Gaule. <i>Gallia</i> , 58, 45–68.
494	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3406/galia.2001.3173
495	Cavero, J. (2010). Paléogéographie des étangs narbonnais d'après les sources cartographiques anciennes.
496	Géocarrefour, 85(1), 29–40. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4000/geocarrefour.7659
497	Chandler, R. E., & Scott, E. (2011). Statistical Methods for Trend Detection and Analysis in the
498	Environmental Sciences (R. E. Chandler & E. Scott (eds.); John Wiley).
499	Collin Bouffier, S. (2009). Marseille et la Gaule méditerranéenne avant la conquête romaine. Pallas, 80,
500	35–60. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4000/pallas.1751
501	Conte, L. C., Bayer, D. M., & Bayer, F. M. (2019). Bootstrap Pettitt test for detecting change points in
502	hydroclimatological data: case study of Itaipu Hydroelectric Plant, Brazil. Hydrological Sciences
503	Journal, 64(11), 1312-1326. https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2019.1632461

504	Daliakopoulos, I. N., Tsanis, I. K., Koutroulis, A., Kourgialas, N. N., Varouchakis, A. E., Karatzas, G. P., &
505	Ritsema, C. J. (2016). The threat of soil salinity: A European scale review. Science of The Total
506	Environment, 573, 727–739. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.08.177
507	Dallmayr, W. R. (1961). Public and semi-public corporations in France. Law and Contemporary Problems,
508	<i>26</i> (4), 755–793.
509	de Louw, P. G. B., Eeman, S., Oude Essink, G. H. P., Vermue, E., & Post, V. E. A. (2013). Rainwater lens
510	dynamics and mixing between infiltrating rainwater and upward saline groundwater seepage
511	beneath a tile-drained agricultural field. JOURNAL OF HYDROLOGY, 501, 133–145.
512	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.07.026
513	de Louw, P. G. B., Eeman, S., Siemon, B., Voortman, B., Gunnink, J., van Baaren, E. S., & Oude Essink, G.
514	H. P. (2011). Shallow rainwater lenses in deltaic areas with saline seepage. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
515	Discuss., 8, 7657–7707. https://doi.org/10.5194/hessd-8-7657-2011
516	Descadeillas, R. (1959). La contrebande du sel aux sources de la Sals, 1750-1850. Annales Du Midi : Revue
517	Archéologique, Historique et Philologique de La France Méridionale, 71(46), 125–142.
518	https://doi.org/10.3406/anami.1959.6263
519	Duan, Y., Ma, L., Abuduwaili, J., Liu, W., Saparov, G., & Smanov, Z. (2022). Driving Factor Identification for
520	the Spatial Distribution of Soil Salinity in the Irrigation Area of the Syr Darya River, Kazakhstan.
521	Agronomy, 12(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12081912
522	Dupont, A. (1958). L'exploitation du sel sur les étangs de Languedoc (IXe-XIIIe siècle) (Tome 70). N°41.
523	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3406/anami.1958.6196

524	Epstein, E. (1980). Responses of plants to saline environments. <i>Basic Life Sciences</i> , 14(2), 7–21.
525	https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-3725-6_2
526	Ermgassen, P. S. E., Baker, R., Beck, M. W., Dodds, K., Ermgassen, S. O. S. E., Mallick, D., Taylor, M. D., &
527	Turner, R. E. (2021). Ecosystem Services: Delivering Decision-Making for Salt Marshes Why
528	Quantify the Value of Ecosystem. Estuaries and Coasts, 1691–1698.
529	Erostate, M., Huneau, F., Garel, E., Ghiotti, S., Vystavna, Y., Garrido, M., & Pasqualini, V. (2020).
530	Groundwater dependent ecosystems in coastal Mediterranean regions: Characterization,
531	challenges and management for their protection. Water Research, 172, 115461.
532	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.115461
533	Faïsse, C., Mathé, V., Bruniaux, G., Labussière, J., Cavero, J., Jézégou, M. P., Lefèvre, D., & Sanchez, C.
534	(2018). Palaeoenvironmental and archaeological records for the reconstruction of the ancient
535	landscape of the Roman harbour of Narbonne (Aude, France). Quaternary International, 463, 124–
536	139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2017.03.072
537	Feinerman, E., & Yaron, D. (1982). Linear crop response functions to soil salinity with a threshold salinity
538	level. Water Ressource Research, 18(1), 101–106.
539	Ferreira, C. S. S., Seifollahi-Aghmiuni, S., Destouni, G., Ghajarnia, N., & Kalantari, Z. (2022). Soil degradation
540	in the European Mediterranean region: Processes, status and consequences. Science of the Total
541	Environment, 805, 150106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150106
542	Fontes, J. C., & Matray, J. M. (1993). Geochemistry and origin of formation brines from the Paris Basin ,
543	France 1 . Brines associated with Triassic salts. <i>Chemical Geology, 109,</i> 149–175.

544	Gao, P., Mu, X. M., Wang, F., & Li, R. (2011). Changes in streamflow and sediment discharge and the
545	response to human activities in the middle reaches of the Yellow River. Hydrology and Earth System
546	Sciences, 15(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-1-2011
547	García-Ruiz, J. M., López-Moreno, I. I., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Lasanta-Martínez, T., & Beguería, S. (2011).
548	Mediterranean water resources in a global change scenario. Earth-Science Reviews, 105(3–4), 121–
549	139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.01.006
550	Gibling, M. R. (2018). River systems and the anthropocene: A late pleistocene and holocene timeline for
551	human influence. Quaternary, 1(3), 1–37. https://doi.org/10.3390/quat1030021
552	Guennoc, P., Gorini, C., & Mauffret, A. (2000). Histoire géologique du golfe du Lion et cartographie du rift
553	oligo-aquitanien et de la surface messinienne. <i>Géologie de La France</i> , 3, 67–97.
554	https://archivesdepartementales.aude.fr/actualites/le-territoire-dans-tous-ses-etats-11-les-
555	variations-du-lit-de-laude
556	Guilaine, J. (1995). Temps et espace dans le bassin de l'Aude du néolithique à l'Âge du Fer (Centre d'A).
557	Himes-Cornell, A., Pendleton, L., & Atiyah, P. (2018). Valuing ecosystem services from blue forests: A
558	systematic review of the valuation of salt marshes, sea grass beds and mangrove forests. <i>Ecosystem</i>
559	Services, 30, 36–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2018.01.006
560	Holser, W. T., Kaplan, I. R., Sakai, H., & Zak, I. (1979). Isotope geochemistry of oxygen in the sedimentary
561	sulfate cycle. <i>Chemical Geology</i> , 25(1–2), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(79)90079-2
562	Jacobsen, S. E., Jensen, C. R., & Liu, F. (2012). Improving crop production in the arid Mediterranean climate.
563	Field Crops Research, 128, 34–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2011.12.001

564	Jahn, R., Blume, H. P., Asio, V. B., Spaargaren, O., & Schad, P. (2006). Guidelines for Soil Description.
565	https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33443-7_3
566	Jones, A., Panagos, P., Barcelo, S., Bouraoui, F., Bosco, C., Dewitte, O., Gardi, C., Erhard, M., Hervás, J.,
567	Hiederer, R., Jeffery, S., Lukewille, A., Marmo, L., Montanarella, L., Penizek, V., Olazabal, C.,
568	Petersen, JE., Penizek, V., Strassburger, T., Yigini, Y. (2012). State of soil in Europe.
569	https://doi.org/10.2788/77361
570	Kargas, G., Londra, P., & Sotirakoglou, K. (2022). The effect of soil texture on the conversion factor of 1:5
571	soil/water extract electrical conductivity (EC1:5) to soil saturated paste extract electrical
572	conductivity (ECe). Water, 14, 642. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.3390/w14040642
573	Larguier, G. (1996). Le drap et le grain en Languedoc Narbonne et Narbonnais 1300-1789 (PUP).
574	Larguier, G. (2018). Catastrophe naturelle et effets à long terme : l'inondation de 1316 et le changement
575	de lit de l'Aude. Bulletin de La Société d'Etudes Scientifiques de l'Aude, CXVIII, 79–96.
576	https://archivesdepartementales.aude.fr/actualites/le-territoire-dans-tous-ses-etats-11-les-
577	variations-du-lit-de-laude
578	LEMA. (2006). Loi sur l'eau et les milieux aquatiques.
579	https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/loda/id/JORFTEXT000000649171
580	Lespinasse, P., Aloïsi, J. C., Barruol, J., Durand-Delga, M., Got, H., Monaco, A., & Marchal, J. P. (1982). Notice
581	explicative de la feuille Narbonne au 1/50 000.
582	Li, J., Pu, L., Zhu, M., & Zhang, R. (2012). The Present Situation and Hot Issues in the Salt-affected Soil
583	Research. Acta Geographica Sinica, 67(9), 1233–1245.

584	Linares, C., Díaz, J., Negev, M., Martínez, G. S., Debono, R., & Paz, S. (2020). Impacts of climate change on
585	the public health of the Mediterranean Basin population - Current situation, projections,
586	preparedness and adaptation. Environmental Research, 182.
587	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.109107
588	Maas, E. V., & Hoffman, G. J. (1977). Crop salt tolerance - Current assessment. Journal of the Irrigation and
589	Drainage Division, 103(2). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1061/JRCEA4.0001137
590	Martinez-Beltran, J., & Manzur, C (2005). Overview of salinity problems in the world and FAO strategies
591	to address the problem. <i>Environmental Science</i> .
592	https://www.researchgate.net/publication/284816697_Overview_of_salinity_problems_in_the_
593	world_and_FAO_strategies_to_address_the_problem
594	Martínez-Megías, C., & Rico, A. (2022). Biodiversity impacts by multiple anthropogenic stressors in
595	Mediterranean coastal wetlands. Science of the Total Environment, 818.
596	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151712
597	Martínez Ferreras, V., Capelli, C., Cabella, R., & Nieto Prieto, X. (2013). From hispania tarraconensis (ne
598	spain) to gallia narbonensis (s france). New data on pascual 1 amphora trade in the augustan
599	period. Applied Clay Science, 82(1), 70–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2013.06.021
600	Mejjad, N., Rossi, A., & Pavel, A. B. (2022). The coastal tourism industry in the Mediterranean: A critical
601	review of the socio-economic and environmental pressures & impacts. Tourism Management
602	Perspectives, 44(September), 101007. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2022.101007

603	Petelet-Giraud, E., Négrel, P., Aunay, B., Ladouche, B., Bailly-comte, V., Guerrot, C., Flehoc, C., Pezard, P.,
604	Lo, J., & Dör, N. (2016). Coastal groundwater salinization: Focus on the vertical variability in a multi-
605	layered aquifer through a multi-isotope fi ngerprinting (Roussillon. Science of the Total
606	Environment, 567, 398–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.016
607	Pettitt, A. N. (1979). A Non-parametric to the Approach Problem. <i>Applied Statistics</i> , 28(2), 126–135.
608	Pieruccini, P., Susini, D., Buonincontri, M. P., Bianchi, G., Hodges, R., Lubritto, C., & Di Pasquale, G. (2021).
609	Late Holocene human-induced landscape changes in Calcareous Tufa environments in Central
610	Mediterranean valleys (Pecora river, Southern Tuscany, Italy). Geomorphology, 383, 107691.
611	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2021.107691
612	Pohlert, T. (2023). Non-parametric trend tests and change-point detection. 1—18.
613	Rengasamy, P. (2006). World salinization with emphasis on Australia. Journal of Experimental Botany,
614	<i>57</i> (5), 1017–1023. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erj108
615	Rengasamy, P. (2010). Soil processes affecting crop production in salt-affected soils. Functional Plant
616	Biology, 37(7), 613–620. https://doi.org/10.1071/FP09249
617	Rhoades, J. D., Chanduvi, F., & Lesch, S. (1999). Soil salinity assessment: method and interpretation of
618	electrical conductivity measurements (Vol. 57, Issue 1). http://journal.um-
619	surabaya.ac.id/index.php/JKM/article/view/2203
620	Richards, L. A., Allison, L., Bernstein, L., Bower, J. W., Brown, J. W., Fireman, M., Hatcher, J. Y., Hayward,
621	H. E., Pearson, G. A., Reeve, R. C., & Wilcox, L. V. (1954). Diagnosis and Improvement of saline and
622	alkali soils. In L. A. Richards (Ed.), <i>Agriculture Hanbook: Vol. N° 60</i> (Issue February 1954).

623	Sagarika, S., Kalra, A., & Ahmad, S. (2014). Evaluating the effect of persistence on long-term trends and
624	analyzing step changes in streamflows of the continental United States. Journal of Hydrology, 517,
625	36–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.05.002
626	Salel, T. (2018). Dynamique des environnements fluvio-lagunaires du Narbonnais à l'Holocène (Golfe du
627	Lion, FRANCE).
628	Shrestha, R. P. (2006). Relating soil electrical conductivity to remote sensing and other soil properties for
629	assessing soil salinity in northeast Thailand. Land Degradation and Development, 17(6), 677–689.
630	https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.752
631	Sonmez, S., Buyuktas, D., Okturen, F., & Citek, S. (2008). Assessment of different soil to water ratios (1:1,
632	1:2.5, 1:5) in soil salinity studies. <i>Geoderma</i> , 144, 361–369.
633	Stavi, I., Thevs, N., & Priori, S. (2021). Soil salinity and sodicity in drylands: A review of causes, effects,
634	monitoring, and restoration measures. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 9(August), 1–16.
635	https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2021.712831
636	Szabolcs, I. (1989). Salt-affected soils. CRC Press, Inc.
637	Szabolcs, I. (1992). Salinization of soil and water and its relation to desertification. Desertification Control
638	Bulletin, 21, 32–37.
639	Szabolcs, I. (1985). Salt affected soils as a world problem. The reclamation of salt-affected soils.
640	Proceedings of an International Symposium, China, 30–47.

641	Tóth, G., Montanarella, L., & Rusco, E. (2008). Threats to Soil Quality in Europe. In G. Tóth, L. Montanarella,
642	& E. Rusco (Eds.), Updated map of salt affected soils in the European Union.
643	https://doi.org/10.2788/8647
644	Tramblay, Y., Koutroulis, A., Samaniego, L., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Volaire, F., Boone, A., Le Page, M.,
645	Llasat, M. C., Albergel, C., Burak, S., Cailleret, M., Kalin, K. C., Davi, H., Dupuy, J. L., Greve, P.,
646	Grillakis, M., Hanich, L., Jarlan, L., Martin-StPaul, N., Polcher, J. (2020). Challenges for drought
647	assessment in the Mediterranean region under future climate scenarios. Earth-Science Reviews,
648	210(March), 103348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103348
649	Verstraeten, G., Poesen, J., Demarée, G., & Salles, C. (2006). Long-term (105 years) variability in rain
650	erosivity as derived from 10-min rainfall depth data for Ukkel (Brussels, Belgium): Implications for
651	assessing soil erosion rates. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 111(22), 1–11.
652	https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007169
653	Wang, Y., Deng, C., Liu, Y., Niu, Z., & Li, Y. (2018). Identifying change in spatial accumulation of soil salinity
654	in an inland river watershed, China. Science of the Total Environment, 621, 177–185.
655	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.222
656	WFD. (2000). Water Framework Directive. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/water/water-
657	framework-directive_en
658	Wilkinson, B. H. (2005). Humans as geologic agents: A deep-time perspective. <i>Geology</i> , <i>33</i> (3), 161–164.
659	https://doi.org/10.1130/G21108.1

660	WRB. (2015). World reference base for soil resources 2014, update 2015 International soil classification
661	system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps.
662	Zhang, S., & Lu, X. X. (2009). Hydrological responses to precipitation variation and diverse human activities
663	in a mountainous tributary of the lower Xijiang, China. Catena, 77(2), 130–142.
664	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2008.09.001
665	
666	