

# Moringa Oleifera supplemented biscuits: Nutritional values and consumer segmentation

Amel Hedhili, Samuel Lubbers, Elias Bou-Maroun, Francine Griffon,

Babatope Ebenezer Akinyemi, Florence Husson, Dominique Valentin

# ▶ To cite this version:

Amel Hedhili, Samuel Lubbers, Elias Bou-Maroun, Francine Griffon, Babatope Ebenezer Akinyemi, et al.. Moringa Oleifera supplemented biscuits: Nutritional values and consumer segmentation. South African Journal of Botany, 2021, 138, pp.406-414. 10.1016/j.sajb.2021.01.017 . hal-03187745

# HAL Id: hal-03187745 https://institut-agro-dijon.hal.science/hal-03187745

Submitted on 13 Feb 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0254629921000260 Manuscript\_3e2ab989bbf0581cd189128bdc440e71

# 1 *Moringa Oleifera* supplemented biscuits: nutritional values and consumer

# 2 segmentation

- 3 Amel Hedhili<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Samuel Lubbers<sup>b</sup>, Elias Bou-Maroun<sup>b</sup>, Francine Griffon<sup>a</sup>, Babatope
- 4 Ebenezer Akinyemi<sup>c</sup>, Florence Husson<sup>b</sup>, Dominique Valentin<sup>a</sup>
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>a:</sup> Centre des Sciences du Goût et de l'Alimentation, AgroSup Dijon, CNRS, INRAE,
- 6 Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-21000 Dijon, France
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>b:</sup> Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, AgroSup Dijon, PAM UMR A 02.102, F-21000
- 8 Dijon, France
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>c:</sup> Department of Agricultural Economics & Extension. University of Fort Hare, Alice,
- 10 5700, South Africa
- 11 \*Corresponding author. E-mail address: Amel.Hedhili@inrae.fr (A. Hedhili)

#### 13 Abstract

Moringa oleifera is a nutrient-rich plant, known as a miracle tree, and commonly used 14 as a food supplement. Despite its popularity, there are scant empirical findings on its 15 real nutritional benefits and acceptance among consumers when added to food. To 16 fill this gap, we added Moringa Dried Leaves (MDL) to biscuits. A consumer test was 17 implemented to study the sensory properties of the biscuits. Nutritional properties, 18 including in vitro protein digestibility, and physical properties were also investigated. 19 Global liking indicates the segmentation of consumers into two clusters and 20 confirmed heterogeneity. Cluster 1 consumers disliked the supplemented biscuits 21 and cited dark green color and texture as the main reasons for dislike. Conversely, 22 cluster 2 consumers, who were much younger, liked the supplemented biscuits due 23 to their adventurous taste for novel products. Nutritional analysis revealed that the 24 incorporation of MDL to biscuits increased their iron and proteins but led to 25 incomplete protein digestion especially for biscuits with high supplementation. In 26 addition, vitamin A derived from MDL was degraded during baking. The biscuit with 27 the highest acceptability and digestion (C1) would increase protein intake only slightly 28 but provide essential amino acids, which are deficient in wheat flour. These findings, 29 confirm that there is a limit to further increasing the amount of MDL added to foods in 30 order to provide more nutrients. In addition, it is recommended that Moringa 31 supplemented products should be advertised with caution in order not to mislead the 32 consumers. 33

34 **Keywords:** Moringa Dried Leaves (MDL); Hedonic test; Consumer segmentation;

35 Open-ended questions; In vitro digestibility; Proximate analysis

#### 36 **1. Introduction**

The Moringa oleifera tree is universally known as a miracle plant. This plant derived 37 its name from the fact that all its parts (leaves, seeds, flowers etc.) can be used as 38 nutritional supplement, medicine, water purifier and animal fodder (Daba, 2016). 39 Native to northern India, Moringa oleifera Lam. (Moringaceae) is now cultivated in 40 many subtropical regions in Africa, tropical America, Mexico, Malaysia, and the 41 Philippines (Adeyemi et al., 2014; Fahey, 2005). Several studies have shown that 42 Moringa oleifera leaves are a rich source of certain macro and micronutrients. 43 Sánchez-Machado et al. (2010) reported that Moringa plant contains a high 44 percentage of essential amino acids in green leaves. While Siddhuraju and Becker 45 46 (2003) and Sreelatha and Padma (2009) have also reported antioxidant properties of Moringa oleifera leaves. The leaves of Moringa have also been found to contain 47 important amounts of calcium, potassium, magnesium, vitamin A, C and E (Hekmat, 48 2015). Witt's (2008) meta-analysis of 49 scientific articles summarizes the nutritional 49 composition of Moringa dried leaves (MDL). It shows that in 100 g of MDL there are 50 51  $24 \pm 5.8$  g of proteins,  $36 \pm 9.2$  g of carbohydrates,  $6 \pm 2.5$  g of fat, 20.6 to 28.6 g of fiber,  $32.5 \pm 10.8$  mg of iron, and  $3639 \pm 1980 \mu$ g of vitamin A. 52

53 Currently, the use of *Moringa oleifera* as a nutrient-rich plant for food

supplementation is getting much attention. It was first used in India before it was later
exported to African countries where it was incorporated in traditional foods such as
amala, a starchy meal in Nigeria and Ghana (Oyeyinka and Oyeyinka, 2016). In
developed countries, for instance in Europe, *Moringa oleifera* is marketed as a
"superfood" for a wide range of health benefits such as high level of antioxidant
activity (Bruins et al., 2018). The importation of Moringa to Europe was estimated

between 100 and 200 tons in 2017 and the main market destinations are the United
Kingdom, Germany, and France (CBI, 2019).

Many scientific studies have shown that the supplementation of staple foods such as 62 bread, cheese, yoghurt, and biscuits with MDL improve their nutritional properties 63 (Dachana et al., 2010; Hekmat et al., 2015; Salem et al., 2013; Sengev et al., 2013). 64 Biscuits is one of the most popular bakery products in the human diet due to many 65 characteristics such as palatability, convenience, ready-to-eat, affordability and 66 longer shelf-life in comparison to the other bakery products (Arshad, 2007; Nagi, 67 2012). It is also widely accepted and consumed in many countries, which makes it a 68 viable supplementation vehicle for nutritional improvement of vital nutrients like 69 protein, dietary fibers and functional ingredients (Sulieman et al., 2019). 70

71 In previous studies, MDL were incorporated in biscuits with levels varying from 3% to 15% and results showed that this supplementation increased protein, fiber, b-72 carotene (Dachana et al., 2010; Mouminah, 2019), and minerals (Fe, Ca, Mg, P and 73 K) composition of biscuits (Abdel-Samie and Abdulla, 2014). The incorporation of 74 Moringa also has an impact on the physical and sensory properties of biscuits. 75 76 Physical properties such as diameter and thickness changed depending on the amount of MDL added and breaking force significantly increased with the 77 concentration of Moringa (Dachana et al., 2010). Previous studies that focused on 78 79 sensory evaluation showed that biscuits were acceptable up to 9% or 10% of Moringa supplementation respectively (Abdel-Samie and Abdulla, 2014; Dachana et 80 al., 2010). Lee et al. (2014) revealed that the typical leafy flavor and slightly bitter 81 82 taste decreased the consumer acceptance of a product supplemented with leaves. Furthermore, Dachana et al. (2010) observed that the addition of MDL to cookies 83 resulted in darker samples, which can lead to a lower consumer acceptance. This 84

finding is in agreement with Ramota et al. (2013) who state that MDL has a deep
green color, which may be attributed to its high chlorophyll content and may strongly
mask the color of original foods.

In contrast, a study carried out by Ramaroson et al. (2014) in Madagascar showed 88 that the Moringa green color is not always a problem when added to food. Cassava 89 root cakes were supplemented with MDL and presented to children who had to score 90 their overall liking of the samples. At the end of the experience, children chose one of 91 the products as a reward for their participation. Results showed that the product 92 containing the highest proportion of Moringa received high liking score and was 93 chosen as reward by a majority of the children despite its greener color, which 94 demonstrated that color was not a barrier to children acceptance in the study. This 95 result suggests that there might be inter-individual difference between consumers in 96 terms of acceptance. 97

Previous studies investigated consumer preferences without examining the underlining heterogeneity that might exist among consumers due to individual peculiarity (Abdel-Samie and Abdulla, 2014; Dachana et al., 2010; Rathnayake and Navarathna, 2017). Hence, the first objective of this study was to implement sensory analysis in order to gain understanding of heterogeneity in consumer preferences of Moringa supplemented biscuits by looking at inter-individual differences among the study participants.

Another potential limitation of the use of Moringa for food supplementation is that
 most of the reported evidence do not take into account the effect of processing on
 nutritional compounds and/or of the bio-availability of these compounds.
 Furthermore, the few studies which explored this last point are far from being

109 consensual. For example, a study carried out by Teixeira et al (2014), showed that

the in vitro protein digestibility (IVPD) of MDL was 33.29% whereas a previous study
comparing the IVPD in thirteen plants species reported a value of 74.2% of IVPD of
MDL (Ly et al., 2001). The second goal of this study was to investigate the level of
nutritional improvement of biscuits due to Moringa supplementation.

114 To achieve these objectives, our study asked and offered answers to four questions,

namely: (1) Did the incorporation of MDL in biscuits improve their nutritional

properties and affect their IVPD? (2) What is the contribution of biscuits containing

117 MDL in recommended daily requirement? (3) Did the incorporation of MDL in biscuits

affect the physical properties of biscuits? (4) What is the effect of supplementation

119 with MDL on sensory properties of biscuits and consumer acceptance?

## 120 2. Materials and methods

#### 121 2.1. Biscuit preparation

All ingredients (Wheat flour T-45, sugar, shortening, salt and baking powder) used to produce the biscuits were purchased from local supermarket Carrefour®, Dijon,

124 France. MDL were purchased in Dijon, France.

Three experimental biscuits (C1, C2, and C3) were prepared by supplementing a 125 control biscuit (C0) with different amounts of MDL. The control biscuit was made of 126 100 g wheat flour, 30 g sugar powder, 30 g shortening, 2.5 g baking powder, 0.5 g 127 salt, and water. A proportion of 10%, 20% and 30% of MDL, respectively was added 128 to the base recipe to obtain supplemented biscuits C1, C2 and C3, respectively. 129 Wheat flour and MDL were sieved before mixing with shortening until homogeneous 130 lumps were formed. Then, sugar, salt and baking powder were added. The mixture 131 was mixed at low speed with a pastry robot for 10 min with a stop every 2 min. Water 132

was added gradually and mixed for 2 min at low speed. The dough was wrapped in 133 stretch film and refrigerated at 4 °C for 20 min. After 20 minutes, the dough was 134 placed on a lightly floured biscuit sheet. The prepared dough was rolled to a 135 thickness of 4mm and cut using a circular shape of 3 cm in diameter. The biscuits 136 were baked at 180 °C for 20 min. After baking, the biscuits were cooled down to 137 room temperature and kept in airtight food plastic boxes. Fig. 1 shows the control 138 139 biscuit (C0) and the biscuits supplemented with the three levels of MDL (C1 to C3). 2.2. Proximate analysis 140

All reagents and chemicals used for the proximate analysis were purchased from 141 142 Sigma Aldrich® (France) and were of analytical grade. Biscuit samples were analyzed in triplicate for moisture, proteins, vitamin A and iron. Moisture content was 143 determined according to AOAC (2011). Crude proteins were determined according to 144 *Kjeldahl* method. The proteins content was obtained using the conversion factor 4.4 145 (factor used for tropical plant samples) (Ramaroson et al., 2014). Vitamin A content 146 was determined using the method of vitamin A (Retinol) in foods (AOAC, 2001). Iron 147 content was obtained following the method of determination of trace elements by 148 atomic absorption spectrometry (French standard 14082, 2003). 149

150 2.3. In vitro protein digestibility (IVPD)

Pepsin (from porcine stomach mucosa, >2500 U/mg protein), pancreatin (from porcine pancreas, 4 x USP), casein sodium salt from bovine milk (C-8654) and TAME (p-Toluene-Sulfonyl-L-arginine methyl ester; T4626) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (France). In vitro digestibility was carried out according to the method of Minekus et al. (2014) with some modifications. Analysis was done in duplicate. In addition, 1 g of MDL or 1 g of biscuit was prepared in 10 mL of solution then 8 mL of simulated gastric fluid (SGF) electrolyte solution was added. To this mixture 1.6 mL

of porcine pepsin solution (25.000 U/mL) and 5 µL of 0.3 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> were added. The 158 pH of the mixture was adjusted to 3.0. The solution was incubated for 120 min at 37 159 °C under continuous stirring at 170 rpm. After gastric digestion, gastric chime was 160 mixed with 11 mL of simulated intestinal fluid (SIF). To this solution, 736 mg of the 161 pancreatic enzyme and 40 µL of 0.3 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> were added and the final volume was 162 completed to 40 mL by SIF solution. The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 7.0. The 163 final solution was incubated for 120 min at 37 °C with stirring. To determine the 164 nitrogen release in the samples, the trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation method 165 was used. Aliquots of 10 mL of the withdrawn digestion mixture were mixed with 10 166 167 mL of 10% TCA solution. The mixtures were mixed and then centrifuged (8000 g, 10 min). The nitrogen content of the resulting supernatants was determined by the 168 *Kjeldahl* method. The percentage of soluble nitrogen released during the digestion 169 170 process was calculated using the following equation (Teixeira et al., 2014).

171 % Enzymatic Hydrolysis (%EH) = 
$$\frac{amount of protein after digestion}{total amount of protein} * 100$$

The percentage of digestibility of the proteins was deduced from the digestibility of
the casein considered as standard (100%) (Teixeira et al., 2014).

174 2.4. Physical characteristics of biscuits

### 175 2.4.1. Diameter, thickness and spread ratio

Diameter (mm), thickness (mm) and spread ratio of samples were measured in
triplicate following the method of Ganorkar and Jain (2014). To determine biscuit
diameter, six biscuits were placed edge to edge and the total diameter was measured
in mm by a Vernier caliper. Then biscuits were rotated at an angle 90° for triplicate
measure. The average diameter was reported in mm. Six biscuits were placed on top

of one another to determine thickness. The total height was measured and the
 average was presented in mm. Spread ratio was calculated as: spread ratio =
 Average diameter / Average thickness \* 10.

#### 184 2.4.2. Breaking force

A three-point bend test was used to estimate the biscuits breaking force as an 185 indicator of hardness using a texture analyzer TA-TX2 equipped with a 25 kg load 186 cell. The peak breaking force (N) of biscuits using the force in compression was 187 recorded. Biscuit samples were placed on base beams with a distance of 1.8 cm 188 between the two beams. A three point-bending rig was used with an HDP/3PB, knife-189 edge probe. The analyzer was set at a return-to-start cycle with a pretest speed of 2 190 mm/s, a test speed of 2 mm/s, and a post-test speed of 10 mm/s. The trigger was 20 191 192 g and distance of 10 mm. Analysis was done in triplicate.

#### 193 2.4.3 Color measurement

Color attributes of biscuits supplemented with MDL (L\*, a\* and b\*) were measured in triplicate using Hunter Lab color analyzer (HunterLab Color Flex EZ, USA). The L\* value (lightness index scale) ranges from 0 (black) to 100 (white) while, a\* value indicates the redness (+a\*) or greenness ( $-a^*$ ) and the b\* value refers to the yellowness (+b\*) or blue ( $-b^*$ ). The petri dish containing sample was placed directly on the colorimeter sensor.

200 2.5. Sensory evaluation

#### 201 *2.5.1. Consumers*

The consumer panel consisted of 91 students and staff members from the University
of Burgundy (73.63% Women – 26.37% Men; 74.63% <30 years and 25.27% >30

years). To ensure a larger generalizability of the results no constraint was placed on
the nationality of consumers: 75.82% were French, 10.99% were African, 5.49%
were European other than French and 7.69% Asian.

207 2.5.2. Experimental conditions

Consumers were provided with information about the study, following which they 208 completed an informed consent form in keeping with French ethical requirements. 209 210 The consumers tasted the samples in a sensory evaluation room at Agrosup Dijon. The biscuits were served in covered white plastic cups coded with a three-digit 211 random number. Two series of four samples (C0, C1, C2, and C3) each were 212 213 presented on a tray. Different random codes and different presentation orders were used for the two series. The presentation order followed Williams' Latin squares. 214 Consumers were not aware that the biscuits in the two series were the same. Bottled 215 216 water was provided for palate cleansing. The questionnaire was written in French language and explained in English when necessary. 217

218 *2.5.3. Procedure* 

The consumer test included two steps. During the first step, consumers were 219 instructed to taste the first series of samples from left to right. They had to rate the 220 overall liking of each sample on a structured scale ranging from 1 (I do not like at all) 221 to 7 (I like it a lot) with 4 being anchored as neither like nor dislike. Before proceeding 222 to the next samples, consumers were asked to write down what they liked and what 223 they disliked about the sample (Symoneaux and Galmarini, 2014). During the second 224 step, consumers were then asked to taste the second series of biscuits and evaluate 225 the appearance, odor, taste and texture of the samples using 7-points hedonic 226 scales. Consumers could answer either in French or in English as they wished. 227

Throughout tasting, consumers were told to drink the water provided to ensure palatecleansing.

#### 230 2.6. Statistical Analysis

#### 231 2.6.1 Chemical composition

Data were analyzed using one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with sample as fixed factor and protein, moisture, iron, vitamin A, thickness, diameter, spread ratio, breaking force, a<sup>\*</sup>, b<sup>\*</sup>, L<sup>\*</sup>, as dependent variables. When a significant sample effect was obtained, a Student Neuman-Keuls pair comparison test was applied ( $\alpha = 5\%$ ). *2.6.2. Sensory evaluation* 

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed on the consumer x biscuit global 237 liking scores to evaluate the agreement among consumers' judgments. Consumer 238 239 segmentation was then obtained by performing a Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) of the coordinates of the consumers on the first two dimensions of the PCA. A 240 Euclidean distance and a Ward aggregation criteria were used for the HCA. 241 For each consumer cluster generated by the HCA, an ANOVA was performed on all 242 hedonic scales (global liking, appearance, odor, taste, texture) considering consumer 243 as random factor and samples as fixed factor. When a significant effect of sample 244 was obtained a Student Neuman-Keuls (SNK) pair comparison test was applied with 245 an alpha risk of 5%. 246

The open-ended question was analyzed by grouping terms with similar meaning. This was done by two researchers. For each cluster, we computed the number of consumers who used each term to describe what they liked and disliked in each biscuit. Only terms used by more than two consumers were considered in the rest of

the analysis. A correspondence analysis was performed to visualize the relationshipbetween the samples and the descriptions.

#### 253 **3. Results**

#### 254 3.1 Biscuits characterization

#### 255 3.1.1 Proximate analysis

Protein, iron, vitamin A content and moisture of raw MDL and MDL supplemented 256 biscuits are presented in Table 1. The analysis of the raw MDL revealed a content of 257 4.48 g of moisture, 20.7 g of protein, 11.77 mg of iron, 0.14 mg of vitamin A in 100 g 258 of powder. These results are within the range of the percentage of protein (18.2 -259 29.8 g/100 g of MDL) reported in Witt's meta-analysis. However, the percentage of 260 iron content is lower than the values given by this meta-analysis (21.72 - 43.28 mg / 261 100 g of MDL). The vitamin A content in C1, C2 and C3 are below the detection limit. 262 This result suggests that vitamin A was degraded during baking. 263 The ANOVA result showed a significant effect of MDL concentration on moisture (F 264 (3; 8) = 129.99, p < 0.0001), protein (F (3; 8) = 79.57, p < 0.0001) and iron (F (3; 8) = 265 708.63, p <0.0001) composition of biscuits. The pair comparison test indicates that 266 the control biscuit C0 is significantly different in moisture content from the biscuits 267 containing MDL. The amount of moisture increases with the concentration of MDL but 268 the pair comparison test shows no significant difference between C1 and C2. The 269 gradual increase of MDL concentration added to biscuits also caused a gradual 270 increase in protein content. The pair comparison test, revealed a significant 271 difference between the control C0 and all other biscuits. Thereby, a substitution of 272 wheat flour with MDL (from 10 to 30%) increases the protein content in biscuit by plus 273

10, 17, and 21% (for C1, C2 and C3 respectively) based on the control biscuit.

Finally, the addition of MDL increases significantly the biscuit iron content from 0.85

mg/100 g (biscuit C1) to 2.46 mg/100 g (biscuit C3). The pair comparison shows a

significant difference between all biscuits.

278 3.1.2 In vitro protein digestibility (IVPD)

The percentage of enzymatic hydrolysis (%EH) of casein is 92%, which corresponds
to the expected 100% of IVPD of casein and thus validates our protocol. For raw
MDL we obtained 57% of IVPD. The IVPD of biscuit C1 (84%) was higher than the
control biscuit C0 (47%). Then, the IVPD decreased from C1, to C2 (58%), to C3
(54%).

#### 284 *3.1.3 Biscuit physical properties*

The analysis of the physical characteristics of the supplemented biscuits is presented in Table 1. The ANOVA indicates that there is a significant difference between biscuits for all parameters. A significant decrease in thickness was observed with the increase in MDL concentration (F (3; 8) = 162.88; p <0.0001). The biscuit containing the highest concentration of MDL (C3) exhibited the lowest thickness of  $6.72 \pm 0.19$ mm, whereas the control biscuit (C0) showed the highest thickness of  $9.44 \pm 0.10$ mm.

The diameter of the C3 biscuit was significantly higher (F (3; 8) = 35.45; p < 0.0001) than the diameter of the control sample while no significant difference was observed among C0, C1 and C2 samples. The spread ratio also increased significantly as the level of MDL added to the biscuit increased (F (3; 8) = 113.86; p < 0.0001).

The breaking force increased significantly with the concentration of MDL (F (3; 8) =160.05; p <0.0001). A weight of 15.44 kg was necessary to break the biscuit with the

highest MDL concentration (C3), whereas, only 3.08 kg was necessary to break the
biscuit without MDL. The pair comparison test showed no significant difference
between samples C1 and C2.

The impact of incorporation of MDL on biscuit color parameters is presented in Table 301 1. The ANOVA showed a significant effect of MDL on L\* values (F (3; 8) = 227.01; p 302 <0.0001). The SNK test showed that the lightness parameter significantly decreased 303 (from 75.06 to 41.67) with increase in MDL concentration: The more the quantity of 304 MDL added to the control sample, the darker the biscuits. The a\* value parameter 305 also reflects a significant effect (F (3; 8) = 141.71; p < 0.0001) on biscuits containing 306 307 MDL. The increase of MDL concentration moved biscuits from the red color area  $(+a^*)$  to the green color area  $(-a^*)$ . For C0, an  $a^*$  value of +1.9 was observed, while 308 the a\* values of C1, C2 and C3 were respectively -0.13; -0.27; -1.53. The pair 309 310 comparison test shows no difference between C1 and C2 in term of a\*. The ANOVA showed that the b\* value decreased significantly (F (3; 8) = 192.37; p < 0.0001) from 311 C0 to C3 (21.43 to 7.25) indicating that with the addition of MDL, biscuits had a dark 312 blue color. 313

314 *3.2.* Sensory evaluation results

315 *3.2.1. Consumer segmentation* 

The first two Principal Components (PC) of the PCA explain 74.9% of the total variance of the overall liking scores (Fig. 2a and 2b). All four samples load positively on the first PC (53.4%). Consumers that are positively correlated with this component tend to like better the supplemented biscuits than other consumers. The second PC (21.5%) opposes samples C0 and C3. Consumers that are positively correlated with

this PC preferred sample C3 to sample C0 and conversely, consumers negatively
 correlated with this PC preferred sample C0 to sample C3.

The HCA separated the consumers into two clusters (Fig. 2b). Consumers from cluster 1 (N=49) tend to like the biscuits less than consumers from cluster 2 (N=42). The two clusters differ significantly in term of consumers' age (F (1; 89) = 5.53; p <0.0209. Consumers in cluster 2 are much younger (median age = 24.5) than the consumers in cluster 1 (median age = 26). No significant difference was observed in term of nationality (khi2 = 1.33) or gender (khi2 = 0.26).

329 Fig 3a and b represent the global liking score distributions for cluster 1 and 2. Consumers from cluster 1 (Fig. 3a) accepted only the control biscuit C0 (median = 5). 330 Seventy-five percent of consumers rated the C1 biscuit between 2 and 4 with a 331 332 median of 4.3. For C2 and C3 products, all scores were below 4 with a median of 3 and 2 respectively. Consumers from cluster 2 (Fig. 3b) liked better the biscuits 333 containing MDL than consumers from cluster 1 (average score > 4). For the C0 334 product, 75% of consumers had liking scores greater than 5 with a median of 6. For 335 product C1 and C2, 50% of consumers had scores between 5 and 6 and 4 and 5 with 336 337 medians equal to 6 and 5 respectively. The liking scores for C3 were more variable with some consumers clearly disliking this biscuit (scores close to 1) and other liking 338 339 it (scores equal to 6).

340 *3.2.2.* Effect of Moringa content on overall, appearance, texture, smell and taste liking
341 scores

ANOVA and pairwise comparison tests were carried out separately for each cluster and each rating scale (Table 2). A sample effect was observed in both clusters for each rating scale. This effect is, however, stronger in the first cluster than in the

second one. In both clusters, appearance is the factor that affects the liking of the biscuit the most ( $R^2 = 0.76$  and 0.71 for cluster 1 and 2 respectively). In the first cluster, texture is the factor that has least effects on the liking of the biscuit. The other scales have comparable effects. In the second cluster, texture influences the liking scores more than taste and odor, which is the least impacting factor.

The pair comparison indicates that for both clusters and all liking scales the control biscuit is significantly preferred to the MDL supplemented biscuits. In the first cluster, C2 and C3 are not significantly different for global liking, odor, and texture scale. A significant difference was observed for appearance and taste. In the second cluster, we observe significant differences between all samples for all scales but odors.

#### 355 *3.2.3.* Analysis of open-ended question

We represented the frequencies of positive and negative terms as word clouds 356 separately for each cluster (Fig. 4). For cluster 1, (consumers who liked less the 357 Moringa supplemented biscuits) the most frequent word used to describe what 358 consumers liked in the four samples is a texture term: crunchy. Consumers liked also 359 the taste, especially the sweetness of the control sample as well as its color. On the 360 negative side, they found it bland. Color was the most frequently used term to 361 describe what consumers disliked in the samples supplemented with MDL. The term 362 too hard was also mentioned for samples C2 and C3 followed by vegetal. For sample 363 C3, terms frequently mentioned include odor, spinach, unpleasant, too strong, and 364 bitter. 365

For Cluster 2, (consumers who liked more the MDL supplemented biscuits) crunchy
was frequently used to describe the positive aspects of the four biscuits as for cluster
Sweet and color were also mentioned frequently for C0 whereas new terms appear

to describe what consumers liked in the MDL supplemented biscuit such as taste, 369 370 sweet, color and crispy for sample C1. Cluster 2 consumers also appreciated the originality of the MDL supplemented biscuits, especially in sample C3. Finally, the 371 term vegetal was mentioned among the positive aspects of sample C2. For the 372 negative side, as in cluster 1, consumers cited the term bland for sample C0. For the 373 other samples, color was much less cited than in cluster 1. For sample C1 the most 374 375 frequent term was vegetal. After-taste and spinach were also important for this sample. C2 was characterized mostly by the term too hard, followed by color, vegetal 376 and bitter. C3 was perceived as having a taste that is too strong in addition to being 377 378 vegetal and bitter. Color was also cited but in a lesser extent than for samples C2 and C1. 379

Fig. 5 shows the first two dimensions (71.5% of the variance) of the CA carried out on 380 381 the term frequency counts. For both clusters, the first dimension (55.5%) opposes the control sample to the MDL supplemented samples. In both clusters, the control 382 sample is positively perceived except for the term bland whereas the MDL 383 supplemented samples have negative global perception among consumers. The 384 second dimension opposes the two clusters. The difference between the clusters 385 increases with the proportion of MDL included in the biscuit. In cluster 1, the MDL 386 supplemented biscuits attracted mostly negative terms, whereas in cluster 2 some 387 positive terms were associated to these biscuits. Sample C1 attracted terms such as 388 pleasant and balanced and samples C2 and C3 were positively associated with terms 389 such as vegetal and original. Consumers in this group diverge somewhat in their 390 appreciation of sample C3. While some consumers liked its originality and its vegetal 391 character, others were less positive and associated it with negative surprise, 392 bitterness and find its taste too strong. 393

#### 394 **4. Discussion**

The first objective of this work was to implement sensory analysis in order to gain understanding of heterogeneity in consumer preferences of Moringa supplemented biscuits. Secondly, this study also investigated nutritional enrichment of biscuits supplemented with Moringa.

399 4.1 Effects of MDL supplementation on biscuits nutritional properties and IVPD

Although raw MDL contain vitamin A, this compound was degraded during the baking 400 process. In order to avoid this loss, one solution could be to incorporate MDL after 401 the cooking process (e.g. soup, sauce) or in raw products (e.g. salad). However, this 402 solution may lead to nutritional or sensory related problems. Findings from the 403 sensory analysis revealed that MDL had a pronounced vegetal taste, which is 404 unpleasant when eaten raw. Previous studies showed that heat processing was an 405 effective method to inactivate anti-nutritional factors of most tropical legumes. The 406 inactivation of trypsin inhibitor, for example, makes protein more susceptible to 407 enzymatic digestion (Akande and Fabiyi, 2010). Therefore, another solution could be 408 to use cooking processes with low heating impact in order to find a trade-off between 409 all these criteria. 410

Although, in agreement with previous work (Dachana et al., 2010; Mouminah, 2019;
Rathnayake and Navarathna, 2017), the addition of MDL increased protein and iron
content of biscuits, only a percentage of these proteins were digested. With our IVPD
protocol (gastric digestion 2h; intestinal digestion 2h), 57% of the proteins of raw
MDL was digested. This value is within the range of IVPD values reported by Teixeira
et al. (2013) (33.3%) and Ly et al. (2001) (74.2%). This difference in percentage of
IVPD can be explained by the difference in experimental conditions where, for

example, for Teixeira et al. (2013) the gastric digestion takes 3h and the intestinaldigestion takes 1h.

Moreover, with respect to the IVPD of biscuits, the results showed that the biscuit 420 sample which had the lowest concentration of MDL (C1), had the highest IVPD of 421 84%. Beyond this concentration, the IVPD decreases when the protein concentration 422 in biscuits increases. This decrease of digestibility could be explained by an 423 incomplete enzymatic hydrolysis of proteins due to insufficiency of digestion time or 424 enzyme concentration. Nevertheless, Boisen and Fernandez (1995) confirmed that in 425 vitro digestibility can predict in vivo ideal digestibility. Thus, the appropriate solution is 426 maybe to avoid incorporating a fairly large amount of MDL in the biscuit in order to 427 optimize their digestibility and their benefits. 428

#### 429 4.2. Contribution of supplemented biscuit to recommended daily requirement

In order to determine the contribution of biscuits containing MDL to the recommended 430 daily requirement, we chose the C1 biscuit, which is the best digested and the most 431 widely accepted by consumers. So, 100 g of biscuit C1 (10%MDL; 84%IVPD) /day 432 provide about 0.85 mg of iron and 5.11 g of proteins. Compared with the 433 recommended daily requirement of adults (11 mg of iron /day and 0.83 g of 434 protein/kg/day (ANSES, 2016), the consumption of 100 g of biscuits C1/ day would 435 provide 7.7% of the iron. For protein, this consumption provides just 8.5% of the 436 protein after digestion (for an adult weighting 60 kg). Despite this rather low 437 percentage of protein intake, the leaves of Moringa contain the eight essential amino 438 acids among which the most abundant is leucine and the least abundant is 439 methionine (Ramaroson et al., 2014). Wheat protein have a lower nutritional quality 440 since they are deficient in essential amino acids such as lysine and threonine 441

(Dewettinck et al., 2008; Ndife et al., 2011). Combining MDL with wheat flour
products can improve essentials amino acids composition.

#### 444 4.3. Effects of MDL supplementation on physical properties of biscuits

The incorporation of MDL in biscuits impacts also the physical properties of biscuits, 445 as thickness decreases. This change is due to the reduction of the percentage of 446 gluten contained in the blend. It has been reported by Sengev et al. (2013) that 447 partial replacement of wheat flour with non-glutinous flour results in lower bread 448 449 volumes. Gluten is the main structure-forming protein in flour. It is responsible for the elastic and extensible properties needed to produce good quality bread (Greene and 450 Bovell-Benjamin, 2004). In addition, the breaking force increases when the 451 percentage of MDL incorporated in the biscuits increases. This explains why the 452 texture of the supplemented biscuit was affected by the addition of MDL. This result 453 is in line with Galla et al. (2017) who show that the increase of leafy spinach in 454 biscuits increases hardness and breaking strength. All of these changes and 455 constraints confirm that there is a limit to further increasing the amount of MDL in the 456 457 biscuit in order to provide more nutrients.

458 4.4. Effects of MDL supplementation on biscuit sensory properties and consumer459 acceptance

The results of this study show that the global liking of biscuits containing MDL was not homogenous. Some consumers (cluster 2) tended to like biscuits containing MDL whereas, other consumers did not accept them (cluster 1). When considering the different sensory modalities, the appearance was the factor that affected the most the global liking of biscuits for both consumer groups. The open-ended question suggests that color is the main visual driver of rejecting biscuits supplemented with MDL: color was clearly disliked by consumers of cluster 1 but less so by consumers

from cluster 2. Consumers in cluster 2 were younger than consumers in cluster 1. 467 468 This age difference perhaps implies that younger consumers are more willing to accept the supplemented biscuit due to their curiosity to test novel products as 469 attested by the high frequency of the term original in this cluster. This interpretation is 470 in line with the study of Ramaroson et al. (2014) carried out in Madagascar. 471 Concerning cluster 1. color hampers consumer's acceptance of MDL supplemented 472 biscuit. This might be explained by the fact that consumers in this cluster were not 473 familiar with the green color brought by MDL. The rejection of MDL supplemented 474 biscuits by these consumers could thus be interpreted in terms of food neophobia, 475 476 which is the avoidance of, or reluctance to consume novel foods (Aldridge et al., 2009). Texture was also one of the most important drivers of biscuit rejection. The 477 main problem was the hardness of the biscuit: the force needed to break the biscuit 478 479 sample that had the highest concentration of MDL (C3) was five times higher than the force needed to break the control biscuit. In line with this interpretation, the term too 480 hard was frequently evoked in the open-ended question for MDL supplemented 481 biscuits. 482

## 483 **5. Conclusion**

The present study showed that the incorporation of MDL in food products like biscuits would encounter several obstacles to consumer acceptance and affect physical properties especially in terms of color (green) and texture (hardness). For nutritional benefits, this fortification can enhance nutritional values such as iron and protein compounds but many constraints and negative effects appear following this incorporation. Protein provided by these biscuits were not completely digested. Besides, due to baking processes, some of the nutrients from Moringa such as

vitamin A can be lost. Therefore, incorporating a high concentration of MDL in
biscuits is constrained by a lot of limits as previously explained.

In conclusion, *Moringa oleifeira* could be a proper source to enhance nutritional

494 compounds in foods. In addition, some advice can be given to food producers who

are interested in food supplementation: it is essential to choose the appropriate

- 496 product in which to incorporate Moringa leaves to benefit from these dietary
- advantages with the right quantity without influencing the technological and sensory
- 498 properties of products, mainly texture and appearance.

499 **Declaration of Competing Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# 500 Acknowledgements

501 The authors would like to thank AgroSup Dijon and Embassy of Tunisia in France for

the financial support of this work. We gratefully thank Bernadette Rollin, Anne-Sophie

- 503 Viaux and Christine Rojas for their assistance with the laboratory work. Catalina
- 504 Onofrei is particularly acknowledged for English proofreading.

# 505 **References**

Abdel-Samie, M., Abdulla, G., 2014. Effect of *Moringa oleifera* leaves (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.) on some physico-chemical and sensory properties of wheat flour
 cookies. Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research, 41, 304-315.

- Adeyemi, S.B., Ogundele, K.O., Animasaun, M.A., 2014. Influence of drying methods
  on the proximate and phytochemical composition of *Moringa oleifera* Lam. Global
- Journal of Medicinal Plant Research, 2, 1-5.
- 513
- Akande, K.E.; Fabiyi, E.F., 2010. Effect of processing methods on some
- antinutritional factors in legume seeds for poultry feeding. International Journal of
- 516 Poultry Science, 9, 966-1001.
- 517 518 Aldridge, V., Dovey, T.M., Halford, J.C.G., 2009. The role of familiarity in dietary 510 development Developmental Paview 20, 22, 44
- development. Developmental Review, 29, 32-44.
- 520

- Arshad, M.U., Faqir, M.A., Tahir, Z., 2007. Nutritional assessment of cookies
- supplemented with defatted wheat germ. Food Chemistry, 102, 123-128.
- 523
- ANSES, 2016. Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de l'alimentation, de
  l'environnement et du travail. https://www.anses.fr/fr/content/le-fer/ Accessed 2019,
  November, 18.
- 527
- Boisen, S., Fernandez, J.A., 1995. Prediction of the apparent ileal digestibility of
  protein and amino acids in feedstuffs and feed mixtures for pigs by in vitro analyses.
  Animal Feed Science and Technology, 51, 29-43.
- 531
  532 Bruins, M., Bird, J., Aebischer, C., Eggersdorfer, M., 2018. Considerations for
  533 secondary prevention of nutritional deficiencies in high-risk groups in high-income
  534 countries. Nutrients, 10, 2-15.
- 535

CBI, 2019. Centre for the promotion of imports from developing countries, exporting
 Moringa to Europe. https://www.cbi.eu/market-information/natural-ingredients-health products/moringa/ Accessed 2019, September, 07.

- Daba, M., 2016. Miracle tree: A review on multi-purposes of *Moringa oleifera* and its
  implication for climate change mitigation. Journal of Earth Science & Climatic Change
  7, 366.
- 543
  544 Dachana, K.B., Jyotsna, R., Indrani, D., Prakash, J., 2010. Effect of dried Moringa
  545 (*Moringa Oleifera* Lam.) leaves on rheological, microstructural, nutritional, textural
  546 and organoleptic characteristics of cookies. Journal of Food Quality, 33, 660-677.
- 547

548 Dewettinck, K.F., Van Bockstaele, B., Kühne, D., Van de Walle, T., Courtens, M.,

- Gellynck, X., 2008. Nutritional value of bread: Influence of processing, food
  interaction and consumer perception. Journal of Cereal Science, 48, 243-257.
- 552 Fahey, J., 2005. *Moringa oleifera*: A review of the medical evidence for its nutritional, 553 therapeutic, and prophylactic properties. Trees for Life Journal.
- 554
- Ganorkar, P.M., Jain, R.K., 2014. Effect of flaxseed incorporation on physical,
  textural, sensorial and chemical attributes of cookies. International Food Research
  Journal, 21, 1515-1521.
- 558
- Galla, N.R., Pamidighantam, P.R., Karakala, B., Gurusiddaiah, M.G., Akula, S., 2017.
  Nutritional, textural and sensory quality of biscuits supplemented with spinach
  (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science, 7,
  20-26.
- Greene, J.L., Bovell-Benjamin, A.C., 2004. Macroscopic and sensory evaluation of bread supplemented with sweet-potato flour. Journal of Food Science, 69, 167-173.

567 568 569 570 571 572 573	Hekmat, S., Kathryn, M., Soltani, M., Robert, G., 2015. Sensory evaluation of locally- grown fruit purees and inulin fibre on probiotic yogurt in Mwanza, Tanzania and the microbial analysis of probiotic yogurt fortified with <i>Moringa oleifera</i> . Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition, 33, 60-67.
573 574 575 576 577 578	Lee, J., Chambers, D.H., Chambers, E., 2014. A comparison of the flavor of green teas from around the world. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 94, 1315-1324.
579 580 581 582 583	Ly, J., Samkol, P., Preston, T.R., 2001. Nutritional evaluation of tropical leaves for pigs: Pepsin/pancreatin digestibility of thirteen plant species. Livestock Research for Rural Development. http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd13/4/ly134a.htm
584 585 586 587	Minekus, M., Alminger, M., Alvito, P., Balance, S., Bohn, T., Bourlieu, C., Carrière, F., 2014. A standardised static in vitro digestion method suitable for food – an international consensus. Food & Function, 5, 1113-1124.
588 589 590 591	Mouminah, S.H., 2019. Effect of dried <i>Moringa oleifera</i> leaves on the nutritional and organoleptic characteristics of cookies. International Journal of Science and Agricultural Environments, 36, 297-302.
592 593 594 595	Nagi, H.P.S., Kaur, J., Dar, B.N., Sharma, S., 2012. Effect of storage period and packaging on the shelf life of cereal bran incorporated biscuits. American Journal of Food Technology, 7, 301-310.
596 597 598 599	Ndife, J., Abdulraheem, L.O., Zakari, U.M., 2011. Evaluation of the nutritional and sensory quality of functional breads produced from whole wheat and soya bean flour blends. African Journal of Food Science, 5, 466-472.
600 601 602 603	Oyeyinka, A.T. Oyeyinka, A.S., 2016. <i>Moringa oleifera</i> as a food fortificant: Recent trends and prospects. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences, 17, 127-136.
604 605 606 607	Ramaroson, R.V., Arvisenet, G., Valentin, D., 2014. Studying the nutritional beliefs and food practices of Malagasy school children parents. A contribution to the understanding of malnutrition in Madagascar. Appetite, 81, 67-75.
608 609 610 611	Ramota, O., Rowland, M.O., Oyeyinka, S.A., Adewumi, T., 2013. Proximate, mineral and sensory qualities of 'Amala' prepared from Yam flour fortified with <i>Moringa</i> leaf powder. Food Science and Quality Management, 12, 10-22.
612 613 614 615	Rathnayake, A.R.M.A.N., Navarathna, S.B., 2017. Utilization of <i>Moringa oleifera</i> leaves as a functional food ingredient in bakery industry. International Journal of Science and Research, 6, 339-344

615 Science and Research, 6, 339-344.

Salem, A.S., Salama, W., El Kebir, G., 2013. Enhancement of nutritional and biological values of Labneh by adding dry leaves of Moringa oleifera as innovative dairy products. World Applied Sciences Journal, 22, 1594-1602. Sánchez-Machado, D. I., Núñez-Gastélum, J.A., Cuauhtémoc, R.M., Ramírez-Wong, B., López-Cervantes, J., 2010. Nutritional quality of edible parts of *Moringa oleifera*. Food Analytical Methods, 3, 175-180. Siddhuraju, P., Becker, K., 2003. Antioxidant properties of various solvent extracts of total phenolic constituents from three different agroclimatic origins of Drumstick tree (Moringa oleifera Lam.) leaves. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 51, 2144-2155. Sengev, A.I., Joseph, O.A., Gernah, D.I., 2013. Effect of *Moringa oleifera* leaf powder supplementation on some quality characteristics of wheat bread. Food and Nutrition Sciences, 4, 720-726. Sreelatha, S., Padma, P.R., 2009. Antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of Moringa oleifera leaves in two stages of maturity. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 64, 303-311. Sulieman, A.A., Ke-Xue, Z., Wei, P., Hassan, H.A., Mohammed, O., Azhari, S., Hui-Ming, Z., 2019. Rheological and quality characteristics of composite gluten-free dough and biscuits supplemented with fermented and unfermented Agaricus bisporus polysaccharide flour. Food Chemistry, 271, 193-203. Symoneaux, R., Galmarini, M.V., 2014. Open-ended questions, in: Varela, P., Gastón, A. (Eds.) Novel Techniques in sensory characterization and consumer profiling. Taylor & Francis group, London, pp. 307-330. Teixeira, E.M., Carvalho, M.R., Neves, V.A., Silva, M.A., Arantes-Pereira, L., 2014. Chemical characteristics and fractionation of proteins from *Moringa oleifera* Lam. leaves. Food Chemistry, 147:51-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.09.135 Witt, K.A., 2013. The nutrient content of *Moringa oleifera* leaves, Ecocommunity. https://www.echocommunity.org/resources/a7ee06e3-40f2-4ef0-859e-4e64b90a56c8 

# 658 Tables

659

660 **Table 1** Physico-chemical characteristics of MDL powder and MDL supplemented 661 biscuits

	MDL	C0 (0% MDL)	C1 (10% MDL)	C2 (20% MDL)	C3 (30% MDL)
Moisture (g/100g)	4.48 ± 0.03	3.78 ± 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	2.71±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	4.19 ± 0.05°	$4.64 \pm 0.07^{d}$
Proteins (g/100g)	20.70 ± 0.91	$4.60 \pm 0.09^{a}$	5.11 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	5.57 ± 0.20°	5.96 ± 0.04 <sup>d</sup>
Iron (mg/100g)	11.77 ± 0.86	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	$0.85 \pm 0.07^{b}$	1.72 ± 0.06 <sup>c</sup>	2.46 ± 0.10 <sup>d</sup>
Vitamin A (mg/100g)	0.14 ± 0.02	-	-	-	-
Thickness (mm)		$9.44 \pm 0.10^{d}$	8.67 ± 0.17 <sup>c</sup>	7.39 ± 0.19 <sup>b</sup>	6.72 ± 0.19ª
Diameter (mm)		27.70 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	27,47 ± 0.29 <sup>a</sup>	27.67 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	28.83 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>
Spread ratio		$29.33 \pm 0.40^{a}$	31,70 ± 0.92 <sup>b</sup>	37,46 ± 1.05°	42.92 ± 1.34 <sup>d</sup>
Breaking force (Kg)		$3.08 \pm 0.40^{a}$	$7.78 \pm 0.76^{b}$	7.93 ± 0.41 <sup>b</sup>	15.44 ± 1.03°
L*		$75.06 \pm 3.08^{d}$	53.59 ± 1.29°	44.92 ± 0.48 <sup>b</sup>	41.67 ± 0.74ª
a*		1.90 ± 0.03°	-0.13 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	-0.27 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	-1.53 ± 0.41 <sup>a</sup>
b*		21.43 ± 1.17 <sup>d</sup>	16.78 ± 0.57°	$10.16 \pm 0.88^{b}$	$7.25 \pm 0.29^{a}$

MDL: Moringa Dried Leaves. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicate assays. Means  $\pm$  SD with the same letter are not significantly different at an alpha risk of 5%.

Cluster 1	F	Ρ	R <sup>2</sup>	C0	C1	C2	C3
Global liking	66.10	0.0001	0.65	4.9 <sup>a</sup>	3.7 <sup>b</sup>	2.6 <sup>c</sup>	2.4 <sup>c</sup>
Appearance	94.70	0.0001	0.76	5.6 <sup>a</sup>	3.9 <sup>b</sup>	3.0°	2.3 <sup>d</sup>
Odor	45.69	0.0001	0.65	4.5 <sup>a</sup>	3.2 <sup>b</sup>	2.6°	2.4°
Texture	30.90	0.0001	0.60	5.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.7 <sup>b</sup>	3.4 <sup>c</sup>	3.4°
Taste	69.20	0.0001	0.67	5.2ª	3.8 <sup>b</sup>	2.6°	2.2 <sup>d</sup>
Cluster 2							
Global liking	24.60	0.0001	0.47	6.0 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 <sup>b</sup>	4.6°	4.0 <sup>d</sup>
Appearance	54.97	0.0001	0.71	5.7ª	4.7 <sup>b</sup>	3.7°	3.1 <sup>d</sup>
Odor	17.98	0.0001	0.48	5.2ª	4.0 <sup>b</sup>	3.7 <sup>bc</sup>	3.3c
Texture	30.70	0.0001	0.61	6.0 <sup>a</sup>	5.4 <sup>b</sup>	4.1°	3.9 <sup>c</sup>
Taste	27.39	0.0001	0.56	5.9 <sup>a</sup>	5.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.3°	3.7 <sup>d</sup>

**Table 2** One-way repeated measure ANOVA with consumers as a random factor, samples as a fixed factor and liking scores as dependent variables.

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at an alpha risk of 5%.

#### 665 **Figure Captions**

666

Fig. 1 Photographs of control biscuit (C0) and Moringa supplemented biscuits (C1,C2 and C3)

- **Fig. 2** Principal Components Analysis (PCA) of the consumers global liking scores.
- The left panel (a) represents the loading of the samples and the right panel (b) the
- 671 projection of the consumers. The dot colors and the ellipses represent the two
- clusters yielded by the Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA)
- **Fig. 3** Boxplots representing the frequency counts of global liking scores for
- consumers from cluster 1(a) and cluster 2(b)

Fig. 4 Word clouds of the terms generated by the consumers from the two clusters to
describe what they liked and disliked in the samples. The size of the words in this
representation is proportional to their use: the larger the word size, the higher the
frequency of occurrence

Fig. 5 First 2-dimensional space of the correspondence analysis of the terms 679 generated by the consumers to describe what they like and dislike in the biscuits. The 680 size of the diamonds is proportional to the quality of the representation of the terms in 681 the subspace. Terms corresponding to what consumers like are followed by a sign 682 683 (+) and terms corresponding to what consumers disliked are followed by a sign (-). Only terms used by at least 10% of consumers have been kept. Sample descriptions 684 obtained for consumers from cluster 1 are denoted by CL1 before the code of the 685 686 samples and sample descriptions obtained for consumers from cluster 2 are denoted by CL2 before the code of the samples 687













