



HAL
open science

Models to predict nitrogen mineralization in soil

Bernard Nicolardot, J.A.E. Molina

► **To cite this version:**

Bernard Nicolardot, J.A.E. Molina. Models to predict nitrogen mineralization in soil. Symposium "Nitrogen mineralization in agricultural soils", AB-DLO, Apr 1994, Haren, Netherlands. pp.241-243. <hal-01865921>

HAL Id: hal-01865921

<https://institut-agro-dijon.hal.science/hal-01865921v1>

Submitted on 2 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Models to predict nitrogen mineralization in soil

B. Nicolardot*¹ and J.A.E. Molina**

* INRA, Soils Microbiology Laboratory, 17 rue Sully, BP 1540, 21034 Dijon Cedex, France

** University of Minnesota, Department of Soil Science, 1991 Upper Buford Circle, St Paul, MN 55108, USA

¹ Present address: INRA, Agronomy Unit, route de Montmirail, 51510 Fagnières, France

Abstract

Modeling N mineralization in soil may serve both scientific and practical interests. Over the last fifty years, various models have been proposed to describe N mineralization. However, most of them have to be considered as research tools and few are proposed to predict N supply by soil under actual agro-ecological conditions. Development of models requires a strong cooperation between modelers and experimentalists; models have to be validated in the field and calibrated under various experimental conditions before their use as predicting tools.

WHY TO USE MODELS?

Nitrogen mineralization is one of the most important processes among N microbial transformations in soil. It influences N availability and N uptake by plants and may have consequences for N losses through runoff, leaching and denitrification. The respective importances of mineralization and humification processes are determined by the dynamics of soil organic matter. The soil N cycle is complex due to: (i) a synchronous occurring of different N microbial transformations (e.g. mineralization and immobilization or denitrification and immobilization); (ii) environmental influences (e.g. temperature, moisture, aeration) and agricultural practices. This complexity makes it necessary to analyze the N cycle in soil using mathematical or simulation models.

Models are of a scientific interest (Powlson, personal communication; Jenkinson and Smith, 1988). They can be considered as a tool for organizing knowledge. They allow to obtain a better conceptual understanding of complex problems and to test new concepts and hypothesis. They can be used to show gaps in present knowledge and promote new research strategies.

Models might also have a predictive value and can be useful to test changes and scenarios, for example, models to determine optimal strategies of N fertilization (Germon *et al.*, 1989; Jensen and Paustian, 1989; Neeteson *et al.*, 1989). On the other hand, expert systems for crop management (e.g. cotton, maize) which include both plant and soil simulation models provide insights about cropping systems (Kroll, 1992) and assist in the development of guidelines for best management practices.

In: J.J. Neeteson and J. Hassink (eds.), 1994. Nitrogen mineralization in agricultural soils; proc. symp. held at the Institute for Soil Fertility Research, Haren, NL, 19-20 April 1993. AB-DLO Thema's, AB-DLO, Haren, pp. 241-243.

If models are a useful tool to understand and simulate complex transformations, they remain an imitation of a real system and cannot be a substitute for experiences and investigations.

WHICH KIND OF MODELS?

Since the forties different models have been published to describe or simulate N mineralization in soils (Tanji, 1982; Jenkinson, 1990; Molina *et al.*, 1994). Models differ in both type and complexity, but are characterized by the purpose for which they have been developed (de Willigen, 1991): empirical models (regression models) which fit a mathematical function to a set of data and mechanistic (deterministic) models which simulate one, several or all N transformations in soil. Mechanistic models include organic matter dynamics models (Molina *et al.*, 1994) and biological (e.g. food web) models which are concerned with N dynamics on a relatively short time scale (within years) or with annual N mineralization on a relatively small spatial scale (laboratory experiments, plots within fields...) (Hunt *et al.*, 1987; de Ruyter *et al.*, 1993).

Disadvantages of empirical models are their static nature: the re-calculation of coefficients and parameters is necessary if different sets of data are considered. By contrast, the dynamic nature of mechanistic models takes into account various conditions (e.g. environment, soil, practices) (Godwin and Jones, 1991) and integrate the notion of time.

The complexity of organic matter dynamics models depends on the number of organic functional pools considered. N mineralization has been described using one, two or several organic pools (Jenkinson, 1990). However, some models of decomposition (Bosatta and Agren, 1985) consider organic matter as a continuum. Pools are defined by their stability and position in the network (Molina *et al.*, 1994). The rates of C and/or N fluxes between the different pools has been described using first-order kinetics (Van Veen and Frissel, 1979) and/or Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Hunt *et al.*, 1985). While N mineralization has been simulated with one active pool (e.g. potentially mineralizable N proposed by Stanford and Smith in 1972), recent models are more complex and consider the role of the living component (e.g. microbial biomass) and the links between C and N transformations (McGill *et al.*, 1981; Molina *et al.*, 1983; van Veen *et al.*, 1984, Nicolardot *et al.*, 1994).

Most of the proposed models have to be considered as research tools and cannot be directly applied to answer practical problems. However, development of too simple models may induce the omission of important parameters and may present a limited interest if they cannot be used for various conditions.

LIMITATIONS OF MODELS

Modelers and users of models must be aware of the validity and limits of their tools. In preference model's components (e.g. parameters, coefficients, pools) must have a chemical, physical and biological meaning (Molina *et al.*, 1994).

The spatio-temporal scale for which the model has been designed has to be checked. Some models have been made to describe small-scale (e.g. incubation experiment) or large scale (e.g. regional models) systems. Another element of model's applicability is the resolution considered; some models properly describe long-term dynamics, but are unable to account for short-term evaluations (e.g. fast immobilization or re-mineralization).

N mineralization models have to be validated with field experiments under various soil and environmental conditions to be of practical use. Numerous field experimental data, especially if they include tracer data (e.g. ^{15}N), will allow to improve the validity and

performance of the model (Nicolardot and Molina, 1994). Finally, some soil models do not consider plant growth; their use to predict nitrogen mineralization under field conditions will require a link with plant and water balance models.

References

- Bosatta E. and Agren G.I. (1985). Theoretical analysis of decomposition of heterogeneous substrates. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, **17**, 601-610.
- Germon J.C., Unwin R.J. and Tunney H. (1989). Conclusions and recommendations from the seminar. In: Germon J.C., Ed. *Management systems to reduce impact of nitrates*. London, Elsevier Applied Science, pp. 265-274.
- Godwin D.C. and Jones C.A. (1991). Nitrogen dynamics in soil-plant systems. In: Hanks J. and Ritchie J.T., Eds. *Modeling plant and soil systems*. Agronomy, **31**. Madison, American Society of Agronomy, pp. 287-321.
- Hunt H.W., Cole C.V. and Elliott E.T. (1985). Models for growth of bacteria inoculated into sterilized soil. *Soil Sci.*, **139**, 156-165.
- Hunt H.W., Coleman D.C., Ingham E.R., Elliott E.T., Moore J.C., Rose S.L., Reid C.P.P., Morley C.R. (1987). The detrital food web in a shortgrass prairie. *Biol Fertil. Soils*, **3**, 57-68.
- Jenkinson D.S. (1990). The turnover of organic carbon and nitrogen in soil. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **329**, 361-368.
- Jenkinson D.S. and Smith K.A. (1988). Conclusions and recommendations from the seminar. In: Jenkinson D.S. and Smith K.A., Eds. *Nitrogen efficiency in agricultural soils*. London, Elsevier Applied Science, pp. 445-448.
- Jensen A. and Paustian K. (1989). V Seminar summary - Session I: Nitrogen and carbon transformations. In: Hansen J.Aa. and Henriksen K., Eds. *Nitrogen in organic wastes applied to soils*. London, Academic Press, pp. 359-364.
- Kroll K. (1992). Modeling nitrogen transformations in soil. In: Landivar J.A., Ed. *Proceedings of the 22th annual workshop on crop simulation*. Corpus Christi (TX), Texas A&M University System and American Society of Agronomy, p. 6.
- McGill W.B., Hunt H.W., Woodmansee R.G. and Reuss J.O. (1981). Phoenix, a model of the dynamics of carbon and nitrogen in grassland soils. *Ecol. Bull.*, **33**, 49-115.
- Molina J.A.E., Clapp C.E., Shaffer M.J., Chichester F.W. and Larson W.E. (1983). NCSOIL, a model of nitrogen and carbon transformations in soil: Description, calibration and behavior. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, **47**, 85-91.
- Molina J.A.E., Nicolardot B., Houot S., Chaussod R. and Cheng H.H. (1994). Biologically active soil organics: A case of double identity. In: Doran J., Ed. *Defining soil quality for a sustainable environment*. Madison, Soil Science Society of America, Special publication no. 34, pp. 169-177.
- Neeteson J.J., Dilz K. and Wijnen G. (1989). N-fertilizer recommendations for arable crops. In: Germon J.C., Ed. *Management systems to reduce impact of nitrates*. London, Elsevier Applied Science, pp. 253-263.
- Nicolardot B. and Molina J.A.E. (1994). C and N fluxes between pools of soil organic matter: model calibration with long-term field experimental data. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, **26**, 245-251.
- Nicolardot B., Molina J.A.E. and Allard M.R. (1994). C and N fluxes between pools of soil organic matter: model calibration with long-term incubation data. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, **26**, 235-243.
- Ruiter P.C. de, Moore J.C., Zwart K.B., Bouwman L.A., Hassink J., Bloem J., Vos J.A. de, Marinissen J.C.Y., Didden W.A.M., Lebbink G. and Brussaard L. (1993). Simulation of nitrogen mineralization in the below-ground food webs of two winter wheat fields. *J. Appl. Ecol.*, **30**, 95-106.
- Stanford G. and Smith S.J. (1972) Nitrogen mineralization potentials of soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, **36**, 465-472.
- Tanji K.K. (1982). Modeling of the soil nitrogen cycle. In: Stevenson F.J., Ed. *Nitrogen in agricultural soil*. Agronomy, **22**, Madison, American society of Agronomy, pp. 721-772.
- Veen J.A. van and Frissel M.J. (1979). Mathematical modelling of nitrogen transformations in soil. In: Gasser J.K.R., Ed. *Modelling nitrogen from farm wastes*. London, Applied Science Publishers, pp. 133-157.
- Veen J.A. van, Ladd J.N. and Frissel M.J. (1984). Modelling C and N turnover through the microbial biomass in soil. *Plant Soil*, **76**, 257-274.
- Willigen P. de (1991) Nitrogen turnover in the soil-crop system; comparison of fourteen simulation models. *Fert. Res.*, **27**, 141-149.